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## Executive Summary

This Traffic Impact Study (TIS) has been prepared at the request of Poggemeyer Design Group for a proposed DHL facility. The proposed development is located in the Village of Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio.

The development is expected to consist of a single 545,200 square foot building. The proposed building is located along the south side of State Route 752 to the east of US Route 23 and to the west of Business Place North. The building and site is expected to accommodate land uses related to commerce and fulfillment operations.

The year 2024 will be analyzed for the opening year conditions of the development based on the expected time line.

The development is proposed with two access locations. The project proposes an intersection along State Route 752 that would provide full access to the site. A second access location is proposed along Business Place North.

A Traffic Volume Forecast was previously prepared for use in this Traffic Impact Study. The development and submission of the traffic volume forecasts for the proposed project are intended to follow the TIS Review Process detailed in Section 9.32 and the TIS Flow Chart shown Figure 9.1 of the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual (7). A copy of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast report can be seen in Appendix B.

The project has significantly changed to include only one proposed building since the completion of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast. The traffic volume forecast was updated within this TIS per the procedures, guidelines, and assumptions that were made in the July 18,2022 forecast document.

The weekday peak hours of traffic for the study area roadways were based on the traffic data collected for this report. The weekday AM peak hour of traffic was determined to be 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM. The weekday PM peak hour of traffic was found to be $3: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ to 4:45 PM. These periods were analyzed since they reflect the period of the highest volume of traffic flow for the study area roadways.

The proposed development is expected to generate the following hourly traffic volumes during the peak period as shown in the table below:

| OPENING YEAR | SIZE | TRIP ENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weekday <br> Betwe | Peak Hour <br> 7-9 AM |  |  | Weekda <br> Betwe | Peak Hour 4-6 PM |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks |
| 2024 | 545,200 | 103 | 15 | 102 | 15 | 139 | 10 | 66 | 10 |
| TOTAL NEW TRIPS |  | 118 |  | 117 |  | 149 |  | 76 |  |
|  |  | 235 |  |  |  | 225 |  |  |  |

The year 2044 was forecasted for the twenty year design hour conditions in the July 18,2022 forecast document. The year 2044 will continued to be used for the design year in order to provide a conservative analysis of the expected future conditions in the study area and to provide consistency with the previously prepared forecast document.

## Recommended Improvements to Mitigate the Traffic Associated with the Development

The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 NoBuild conditions at the study area intersections.

SR 752 and SR 316/Ashville Pike (Village of Ashville)

- Extend the length of the southbound left turn lane (600').


## Recommended Improvements to Mitigate the Traffic Associated with the Development

The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 Build conditions at the study area intersections.

US Route 23 and SR 752 (ODOT)
■ Construct a northbound right turn lane (800').

## Development Access Recommendations

The following lane use and traffic control is recommended at the intersection where access to the site is proposed.

State Route 752 \& Proposed Site Access Driveway (Village of Ashville)
■ Construct an exclusive eastbound right turn lane (345') for the opening year of the development (2024 Build).
■ Construct an exclusive westbound left turn lane (345') in the opening year of the development (2024 Build).

- Construct the proposed south approach with one egress lanes and one ingress lane (2024 Build).
■ Install stop sign control on the northbound approach (2024 Build).


## Conclusion

Based upon the results of the analysis in this study and the corresponding recommendations, it can be seen that the development traffic can be accommodated without adversely impacting the area roadway network.

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of Report

This Traffic Impact Study (TIS) has been prepared at the request of Poggemeyer Design Group for a proposed DHL facility. The proposed development is located in the Village of Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio. Figure 1.1, Appendix A details the development location.

The development is expected to consist of a single 545,200 square foot building. The building and site is expected to accommodate land uses related to commerce and fulfillment operations.

The year 2024 will be analyzed for the opening year conditions of the development based on the expected time line. The design year for the proposed project will be based on the opening year and the expected volume of new site generated traffic under the full build condition of the building.

The proposed building is located along the south side of State Route 752 to the east of US Route 23 and to the west of Business Place North.

The development is proposed with two access locations. The project proposes an intersection along State Route 752 that would provide full access to the site. A second access location is proposed along Business Place North. Figure 1.2, Appendix A shows the proposed development site plan.

A Traffic Volume Forecast was previously prepared for use in this Traffic Impact Study. The development and submission of the traffic volume forecasts for the proposed project are intended to follow the TIS Review Process detailed in Section 9.32 and the TIS Flow Chart shown Figure 9.1 of the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$. A copy of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast report can be seen in Appendix B.

It should be noted that since the completion of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast the project has significantly changed to include only one proposed building. The traffic volume forecast will be updated within this TIS per the procedures, guidelines, and assumptions that were made in the July 18, 2022 forecast document.

### 1.2 Study Objectives

This study is structured for the following purposes;

- to adequately assess the traffic impacts associated with the proposed development, and identify the level of off-site access and traffic,
- to provide a comprehensive study which evaluates and documents the traffic impacts and off-site improvements, where warranted,
- and to provide a technically sound basis to identify mitigation requirements to off-site traffic impacts.

This study documents the methodologies, findings and conclusions of the analysis, including the basis for all assumptions, traffic parameters utilized and conclusions reached.

The development of future traffic volumes will be based on the forecasting guidelines and methodology found in the Ohio Department of Transportation's Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual, Volume $1{ }^{(4)} \boldsymbol{\&}$ Volume $2{ }^{(5)}$.

The traffic impacts will be determined by comparing the existing intersection levels-of-service, delay or density, volume to capacity ratio and queue storage ratio before the construction of the proposed development to the anticipated measures after the development is completed. Traffic analyses for the study area and access intersections will be calculated using the computerized version of the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual $7^{\text {TH }}$ Edition ${ }^{(1)}$, (HCS2022, Release 8.1) and Synchro. Data inputs for the HCS software program will be based on the guidance found in the Ohio Department of Transportation's Analysis \& Traffic Simulation Manual (OATS) ${ }^{(6)}$.

The justification for any changes in the intersections will be determined by comparing data collected of the existing traffic conditions to the criteria established by the Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices ${ }^{(2)}$ and professional engineering judgment from an on-site field review.

Intersection geometric design guidelines will be based in the information and procedures found in the Ohio Department of Transportation's Location \& Design Manual, Volume $\mathbf{1 ~}^{(3)}$. The left and right turn lane warrants provided in Section 401-6 of the Location \& Design Manual, Volume $1{ }^{(3)}$ will be used in addition to the capacity analyses to determine the need for deceleration and exclusive turn lanes at unsignalized site access locations.

### 1.3 Intersection Capacity \& Levels-of-Service

Intersection capacity analyses will be performed at the study area and development access intersections using the procedures outlined in the computerized version of the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual ${ }^{(1)}$.

The HCM ${ }^{(1)}$ is the most widely used document in the transportation industry. It contains a set of methodologies and application procedures for evaluating the capacity and quality of service of various transportation facilities. The $\mathbf{H C M}{ }^{(1)}$ is built from more than 60 years or research work and represents a body of expert transportation consensus.

The capacity analysis procedures provide a calculated "average vehicle delay", which is based on traffic volumes, number of lanes, type of traffic control, channelization, grade, and percentage of large vehicles in the traffic stream at each intersection. The average delay calculated at an intersection is then assigned a "grade" or level of service (LOS) ranging from LOS A, the best, to LOS F, the worst based upon driver expectation. The intersection LOS "grades" as defined by the Transportation Research Board are as follows:

Table 1.1 Intersection Levels-of-Service

| LOS | UNSIGNALIZED AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER VEHICLE <br> (sec) | SIGNALIZED AVERAGE <br> DELAY PER VEHICLE <br> (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | 10.1 to 15.0 | 10.1 to 20.0 |
| C | 15.1 to 25.0 | 20.1 to 35.0 |
| D | 25.1 to 35.0 | 35.1 to 55.0 |
| E | 35.1 to 50.0 | 55.1 to 80.0 |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ |

Intersection capacity analyses will be performed in order to estimate the maximum amount of traffic that can be accommodated by the intersection while maintaining recommended operational qualities. No-Build and Build peak hour traffic volumes will be analyzed to determine the level-of-service (LOS) at the study area intersections.

The selection of the design level-of-service is most frequently chosen from Section 5.9 of the Ohio Department of Transportation's OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$. In most cases, a level-of-service $D$ is considered the maximum delay threshold after which improvements should be investigated to determine if the delay can be reduced to a level of D or better. The following table from Section 5.9 details the operation goals for intersection analyses (All-Way Stop Control, Two-Way Stop Control, Signalized, \& Roundabout).

Table 1.2 Intersection Operational Goals

| RESULT | INSIDE AN MPO | OUTSIDE AN MPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | D or BETTER | C or BETTER |
| Approach LOS | E or BETTER |  |
| Control LOS | All movements <1.0 (<= 0.93 preferred) |  |
| v/c | All movements <1.0 from HCS analysis, TransModeler may be needed to <br> determine if queuing impacts upstream intersections. |  |
| QSR |  |  |

MPO = Metropolitan Planning Organization $\mathrm{v} / \mathbf{c}=$ Volume to Capacity Ratio QSR = Queue Storage Ratio

The capacity analyses will determine if there are any locations, approaches or movements in which the delay, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$, and QSR exceeds the operational goals shown in Table 1.2.

The capacity analyses for signalized intersections will be based on the process detailed in Section 6.2.2.1 of the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$. All stop controlled intersections will be analyzed using the computerized version of the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual $7^{7 \mathrm{TH}}$ Edition ${ }^{(1)}$, (HCS2022, Release 8.1). The signalized intersections will be analyzed as coordinated signal system.

It should be noted that any values for queue length, shown in the HCS analysis summary sheets that are displayed in red, indicate that the movement is expected to experience a "spillback" condition where the queue may exceed the existing length of the turn lane and extend into the adjacent through lane. These instances, if they occur, will be investigated for mitigation.

### 1.4 Intersection Turn Lanes

## Turn Lane Warrants

The ODOT Location and Design Manual, Volume $1^{(3)}$ and the State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$ describes the need for auxiliary turn lanes at unsignalized intersections. The Auxiliary Lane Graphs found in Section 401-6 of the Location and Design Manual, Volume $1{ }^{(4)}$ are used for this determination. This applies to the free-flow approaches at unsignalized intersections. Section 401.6.3 of the ODOT Location and Design Manual ${ }^{(4)}$ states that:
"To determine the number and use of left (right) turn lanes, intersection capacity analysis procedures of the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual should be used. For unsignalized intersections, left (right) turn lanes may also be needed if they meet warrants provided in Figures 401-5(6)a, b, c and d. The warrants apply only to the free-flow approach of the unsignalized intersection."

It is the intent of this report to evaluate the need for exclusive deceleration and turn lanes at the proposed access location along State Route 752.

## Turn Lane Length

Proposed turn lanes and existing turn lanes will be analyzed to determine the necessary turn lane storage length in accordance with the procedure found in the Ohio Department of Transportation's Location and Design Manual, Volume $\mathbf{1}^{(3)}$, Section 401. The ODOT criteria and procedures are furnished in Appendix B.

It should be noted that the recommended maximum length is 800 feet for a right turn lane and 600 feet for a left turn lane, however if the calculated turn lane length is lower than these values, the maximum length will not be applicable.

## Design Speed

The procedure for determining the necessary turn lane storage length with the procedure found in the Ohio Department of Transportation's Location and Design Manual, Volume $1^{(3)}$,Section 401 is in part based on the design speed of the roadway.

The AASHTO publication, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highway Streets (Green Book) ${ }^{(11)}$, defines design speed as a selected speed used to determine the various geometric design features of the roadway. The assumed design speed should be a logical one with respect to the topography, anticipated operating speed, the adjacent land use and the functional classification of highway.

The ODOT Location and Design Manual, Volume $1{ }^{(3)}$ provides guidance for determining the design speed of a roadway. Section 104.2 of the ODOT Location and Design Manual ${ }^{(3)}$ states that:
"The design speed should match the legal speed for facilities with a legal speed of 35 mph or less. For facilities with a legal speed of 40 or 45 mph the design speed should either match the legal speed, or be 5 mph greater than the legal speed, depending on the context of the area. For facilities with a legal speed 50 mph or greater, the design speed should be 5 mph greater than the legal speed."

### 1.5 References

The following list of references will be utilized for this report and the analysis contained within it:

1. Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C.
2. Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, 2012 Edition. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Traffic Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
3. Location and Design Manual, Volume 1, Roadway Design. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
4. Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual, Volume 1, Traffic Forecasting Background. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Statewide Planning \& Research, Columbus, Ohio.
5. Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual, Volume 2, Traffic Forecasting Methodologies. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Statewide Planning \& Research, Columbus, Ohio.
6. ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation Manual (OATS), Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
7. State Highway Access Management Manual, Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
8. Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, September 2021, Institute of Transportation Engineers, (ITE), Washington, D.C.
9. Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, September 2017, Institute of Transportation Engineers, (ITE), Washington, D.C.
10. Traffic Engineering Manual, October 23, 2002 Edition (Revised January 15, 2021), Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
11. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green Book), $7^{\mathrm{TH}}$ Edition, September 2018, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.
12. Access Management Manual, $2^{\mathrm{ND}}$ Edition, 2014. Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C.

## Chapter 2

## Area Conditions

### 2.1 Transportation Network Study Area

The study area for the proposed development includes the previously discussed development access locations as shown in Figure 1.2, Appendix A and the following intersections:

1. US Route 23 \& State Route 752 /Rudi Lane
2. State Route 752 \& Business Place North
3. State Route 752 \& Ashville Pike

Rudi Lane is a proposed roadway that will be constructed as the west approach at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752. The proposed roadway is part of the project to construct a Sheetz development at the intersection.

The Ohio Department of Transportation maintains the traffic signal control facilities at the intersection of State Route 752 and US Route 23. The Village of Ashville maintains the traffic signal control facility at the intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316/Ashville Pike.

A location map detailing the traffic count locations can be seen in Figure 2.1, Appendix A.

The following table details the primary characteristics of the study area roadways:

Table 2.1 Roadway Characteristics

| ROADWAY | \# LANES | ORIENTATION | SPEED LIMIT (MPH) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | POSTED | DESIGN |
| US Route 23 @ SR 752 | 4 | North-South | 50 | 55 |
| US Route 23 @ SR 316 (North) | 4 | North-South | 35 | 35 |
| US Route 23 @ SR 316 (South) | 4 | North-South | 50 | 35 |
| Ashville Pike | 2 | North-South | 35 | 35 |
| State Route 752 @ US 23 | 2 | East-West | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 752 @ Proposed Access | 2 | East-West | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 752 @ Ashville Pike | 2 | East-West | 35 | 35 |
| Business Place North | 2 | North-South | 25 | 25 |
| Rudi Lane | 2 | East-West | 25 | 25 |

An aerial view of the of the study area can be seen in Figure 2.2 Appendix A.

Figure 2.3, Appendix A shows the lane use and traffic control conditions based upon the existing conditions in the study area. These will be considered the existing base conditions for this report.

### 2.2 Functional Classification

The Ohio Department of Transportation functionally classifies roadways to help define a roadway's characteristics as well as identify roadways that are eligible for federal funds. Functional classification is the grouping of roads, streets, and highways in a hierarchy based on the type of highway service they provide. Generally, streets and highways perform two types of service. They provide either traffic mobility or land access and can be ranked in terms of the proportion of service they provide.

The functional classification as determined by ODOT will be used in this report to apply growth and design hour factors to the study area roadways for use in forecasting the future traffic volumes in the study area. These factors are determined using data, guidelines, and methodology supplied by ODOT. The methods and the corresponding data are based on the roadways assigned functional classification.

The ODOT methods for forecasting future traffic volumes are a recognized traffic engineering standard in the State of Ohio.

Roadways that are not listed as having a functional classification can be assigned into one of two categories. The first category is a local roadway and the second category is that of an access drive.

The ODOT functional classification of the roadways in the study area can currently be found using the ODOT Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS). TIMS is ODOT's web-mapping portal where information about Ohio's transportation system can be found. TIMS can currently be found at the following web address:

https://gis.dot.state.oh.us/tims/

The following table lists the study area roadways that have an assigned functional classification as determined by ODOT and local government entities.

Table 2.1 Functional Classification

| ROADWAY | AREA | FC \# | CLASSIFICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Route 23 | Urban | 3 | Principal Arterial |
| State Route 752 | Urban | 5 | Major Collector |
| Ashville Pike | Urban | 7 | Local Roadway |
| Business Place North | Urban | 7 | Local Roadway |
| Rudi Lane | Urban | 7 | Local Roadway |

Figure 2.4, Appendix A illustrates the section of the functional classification map for the study area.

### 2.3 Traffic

The traffic data and resulting traffic forecast for the expected No-Build and Build conditions were previously detailed in the "Traffic Volume Forecast - DHL Facility" that was dated July 18, 2022. A copy of the traffic volume forecast report can be found in Appendix B. The development project has since changed to include only one building along the south side of the State Route 752. The TIS will update the traffic forecast using the procedures and guidelines that were detailed in the July 18,2022 forecast.

## Weekday Peak Hours

Weekday nine hour turning movement counts were performed at the following intersections:

1. US Route 23 \& State Route 752 (Thursday, 2/17/2022)
2. State Route 752 \& Business Place North (Tuesday, 8/9/2022)
3. State Route 752 \& Ashville Pike (Wednesday, 2/16/2022)

The weekday traffic counts were conducted in fifteen (15) minute intervals between the hours of 7AM - 10 AM, 11 AM - 2 PM, and 3 PM - 6 PM, then hourly totals were calculated. Average daily traffic was calculated for the roadways using expansion factors to account for daily and seasonal variations according to the recommendations and latest data from the Ohio Department of Transportation.

Copies of the intersection turn movement counts are included in Appendix B for the intersections of State Route 752 at US Route 23 and Ashville Pike. A copy of the intersection turn movement count for the intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North is included in Appendix D.

Based on the collected traffic data, the peak hours for the study area were determined based on the AM and PM hour experiencing the highest total volume indicated in red in the previous tables. The weekday AM peak hour of traffic was determined to be 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM. The weekday PM peak hour of traffic was found to be 3:45 PM to 4:45: PM. These periods will be used to forecast expected and future traffic volumes since they reflect the period of the highest volume of vehicular traffic flow for the study area roadways on a weekday.

The existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 2.5, Appendix A. It should be noted that the discrepancy between traffic volumes at the intersection of SR 752/Business Place North and the adjacent intersections can be attributed to the data collection being performed on different dates.

## Chapter 3

## Projected Traffic Conditions

### 3.1 Site Traffic

## Trip Generation

Calculating future total driveway trips requires an estimate of the traffic generated by the proposed development. The most widely accepted method of determining the amount of traffic that the proposed development will generate is to compare the proposed land use with existing facilities of the same use. The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) has prepared a manual titled "Trip Generation Manual" ${ }^{(8)}$, which is a compilation of similar traffic generation studies to aide in making such a comparison. The most recent update of this manual is the $11^{\mathrm{TH}}$ edition and was utilized for this study.

The ITE Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$ was used in conjunction with available site specific data provided by DHL in order to forecast the expected development site generated traffic. Site generated traffic was prepared for passenger vehicle (vehicle) type traffic and truck (truck) traffic.

The following table details a breakdown of the building that is expected to occupy the site:

Table 3.1 Development Summary

| BUILDING LOCATION | OPENING YEAR | SIZE (Square Feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South of SR 752 - East of US 23 | 2024 | 545,200 |

The developer provided an overview of the their North American facility operations. The overview showed that for buildings over 400,000 square feet that the $90 \%$ are operating 2 or 3 shift operations. The overview also provided a total headcount for each of the sectors that are served at the facilities. The sectors for the AM and PM peak hour vehicle traffic were determined to be the five highest. A copy of the facilities overview can be seen in Appendix B.

In order to determine the volume of expected site generated vehicle traffic a weighted average of the total headcount for the 5 largest sectors was calculated. It was assumed for the purpose of this report that one employee was equal to one trip in the peak hour due to the shift operations. The following table details the calculation of the site generated trip rate that will be used to forecast the volume of vehicle generated traffic by each building in the development:

Table 3.2 Vehicle Trip Rate Calculation

| SECTOR | HEADCOUNT <br> per 100,000 sf | WEIGHTED <br> AVERAGE | WEIGHTED <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive | 24 | $15.00 \%$ | 3.600 |
| Consumer | 20 | $12.50 \%$ | 2.500 |
| Retail | 51 | $31.88 \%$ | 16.256 |
| Technology | 45 | $28.13 \%$ | 12.656 |
| Life Science/Healthcare | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $12.50 \%$ | 2.500 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 1 3}$ |  |

The weighted average should provide a conservative estimate of future traffic as the sectors being served at each building are currently unknown.

A rate of 37.5125 trips per 100,000 square foot will be applied to each building in the development in order to determine the peak hour site generated trips based on the results shown above in Table 3.2.

The peak hour site generated trips will be split in to entering and exiting trips based on the peak hour directional distributions provided for land use \#156 - High Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse from the ITE Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$.

The developer provided the expected facility truck volumes from the consumer and ecommerce sectors. These sectors were selected as they provide the highest peak hour volume of truck traffic at DHL facilities and should provide a conservative estimate of the expected truck volumes during the AM and PM peak hours. These truck volumes were applied to the each of the proposed buildings based on the square footage of each. A copy of the provided truck data can be seen in Appendix B.

Trip generation calculations for the development were performed utilizing the supplied site specific data for vehicle and truck trips as well as data contained in the Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$ and the methods outlined in the (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook ${ }^{(9)}$. A spreadsheet detailing the vehicle trip generation calculations can be found in Appendix E. The following table details the site generated vehicle and truck traffic volumes for each building in the proposed development.

Table 3.3 New Trip Summary

| OPENING YEAR | SIZE | TRIP ENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weekday <br> Betwee | Peak Hour 7-9 AM |  |  | Weekday <br> Betwe | Peak Hour $14-6 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks |
| 2024 | 545,200 SF | 103 | 15 | 102 | 15 | 139 | 10 | 66 | 10 |
| TOTAL NEW TRIPS |  | 118 |  | 117 |  | 149 |  | 76 |  |
|  |  | 235 |  |  |  | 225 |  |  |  |

The ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$ requires that ten year design hour traffic volumes be analyzed for a proposed development when the number of generated trips is below 500 in the peak hour and twenty year design hour traffic volumes when the number of generated trips is greater than 500 in the peak hour.

The proposed development is expected to generate a total of 235 driveway trips in the AM peak hour and a total of 225 driveway trips in the PM peak hour.

The year 2044 was forecasted for the twenty year design hour conditions in the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast. The year 2044 will continued to be used for the design year in order to provide a conservative analysis of the expected future conditions in the study area and to provide consistency with the previously prepared forecast document.

## Distribution of New Site Generated Weekday Traffic

Separate directional distributions will be prepared for passenger vehicle (vehicle) type traffic and truck (truck) traffic.

The directional distribution for the new generated vehicle traffic is a function of the prevailing operating conditions on the existing roadways. The distribution pattern that was assumed is shown in the tables that follow and is based upon the distributions detailed in the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast. The vehicle trips were assumed to be primary trips made by people leaving home for work and then returning home. The vehicle trips were therefore assumed to enter and exit the study using the same route.

The following tables detail the distribution of the new generated vehicle trips for the proposed development under the opening and design year conditions.

Table 3.4 AM New Trip Origins and Destinations

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 21 | $20 \%$ | 21 |
| South | US 23 | $40 \%$ | 41 | $40 \%$ | 41 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 21 | $20 \%$ | 20 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $10 \%$ | 10 | $10 \%$ | 10 |
| South | Long Street (SR 316) | $10 \%$ | 10 | $10 \%$ | 10 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |

Table 3.5 PM New Trip Origins and Destinations
2024 Opening Year

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 28 | $20 \%$ | 13 |
| South | US 23 | $30 \%$ | 41 | $30 \%$ | 20 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 28 | $20 \%$ | 13 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $20 \%$ | 28 | $20 \%$ | 13 |
| South | Long Street (SR 316) | $10 \%$ | 14 | $10 \%$ | 7 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ |

All truck traffic will enter and exit the development at the proposed intersection along State Route 752. Trucks will be prohibited from exiting the site to the east along State Route 752 through the use of way finding signs both on-site and off-site.

The distribution of the truck traffic was based on the all trucks using US Route 23 to travel north or south. The trucks were split with $60 \%$ originating from and destined to the north. The remaining $40 \%$ were assumed to enter from or exit to the south. The distribution was based on the existing volume patterns on US Route 23, the location of Rickenbacker International Airport, and the location of the facility to the south of the greater Columbus area.

## Distribution of Site Generated Traffic

The distribution of new site generated vehicle traffic between the proposed access and the Business Place North access were based on the following assumptions:

- The site plan shows two symmetric parking fields on the on the east and west sides of the building. It will be assumed that the vehicles will park in the two areas at 50/50 split.
- It was assumed that $75 \%$ of entering traffic would use the first available access they encounter and $25 \%$ would use the other location. For example $50 \%$ of the vehicles from the west would use the west parking and $50 \%$ of the vehicles from the west would use the east parking area. $100 \%$ of the $50 \%$ of vehicles parking in the west parking lot would use the proposed SR 752 access. $50 \%$ of the $50 \%$ of vehicles parking in the east parking lot would use the proposed SR 752 access and travel through the site to the east parking lot and the remaining $50 \%$ of the $50 \%$ of vehicles parking in the east parking lot would use the Business Place North access.
- It was assumed that exiting traffic would use the access closest to the parking area to exit the site. Exiting traffic was therefore split 50/50 between the access locations.

The directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated vehicle traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.1, Appendix A for the opening year conditions.

The directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated truck traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.2, Appendix A for the opening year conditions.

## Assignment of Site Generated Traffic - 2024 Opening Year

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.1, the new AM and PM peak site generated vehicle traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated site generated new vehicle traffic for the proposed development under the opening year conditions is shown graphically in Figure 3.3, Appendix A.

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.2 , the new AM and PM peak site generated truck traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated site generated new truck traffic for the proposed development under the opening year conditions is shown graphically in Figure 3.4, Appendix A.

### 3.2 Adjusted Traffic

The collected peak hour traffic volumes detailed in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix A were reviewed to determine if they have been impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The traffic volumes as they were collected may not be representative of a typical weekday under normal travel patterns and show less volume. The ODOT Modeling and Forecasting Section of the Office of Statewide Planning and Research has developed a process to calibrate counts that are artificially low due to the COVID-19 situation. An overview of the ODOT guidance and process is included in the Traffic Volume Forecast,

## Appendix $B$.

A calculated factor greater than 1.0 indicates that the 2022 volumes do not exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

A calculated factor of less than 1.0 indicates that the 2022 volumes exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is not necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

A COVID adjustment factor of 0.9682 detailed in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B indicates that the 2022 volumes exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is not necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the collected traffic data.

### 3.3 Non-Site Traffic

## Background Traffic Growth

Design of new roadways or improvements to existing roadways should not usually be based on current traffic volumes alone, but should consider future traffic volumes expected to make use of the facilities. Roadways should be designed to accommodate the traffic volume that is likely to occur within the design life of the facility. In a practical sense, this design volume should be a value that can be estimated with reasonable accuracy. It is believed that the maximum design period is in the range of 15 to 24 years. Therefore, a period of twenty years is widely used as a basis for design for large projects. A period of ten years is currently specified by the Ohio Department of Transportation for smaller projects. Traffic cannot usually be forecasted accurately beyond this period on a specific facility because of probable changes in the general regional economy, population, and land development along the roadway.

The year 2044 (Design Year) will be analyzed for the proposed development. Therefore, it is necessary to estimate historical growth rates in order to establish the future traffic on the study area roadways due to non-site related conditions.

Roadways, like those found in the study area, carry a significant amount of through traffic due to their functional characteristics. This through traffic component generally increases as regional growth occurs. Therefore, it is anticipated that existing traffic on these roadways may increase in future years.

The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commision (MORPC) was contacted in order to determine appropriate growth rates for the study area roadways. MORPC provided linear annual growth rates for the approaches at the study area intersections. Acopy of the email correspondence regarding growth rates for the study area can be seen in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

## Design Hour Traffic

The traffic patterns on any roadway typically show considerable variation in the traffic volumes experienced during the various hours of the day and in the hourly volumes experienced throughout the year. A key decision in the design process involves determining which of these hourly traffic volumes should be used as the basis for the design.

It would be wasteful to predicate a design on the maximum peak hour traffic that occurs during the year and the use of the average hourly traffic would result in an inadequate design. The hourly traffic volumes used in a design should not be exceeded very often or by very much. However, the hourly traffic volumes should not be so high that traffic would rarely be sufficient to make full use of the designed facility.

Normal design policy in the State of Ohio is based upon a review of curves that depict the variation in hourly traffic volumes during the year. The Ohio Department of Transportation recommends using the $30^{\text {TH }}$ highest hour as a design control for rural streets. There is typically very little difference between the volumes in this range. The Ohio Department of Transportation provides factors or a methodology to determine factors that are applied to counted daily traffic volumes to determine appropriate design hour traffic volumes.

Following guidelines set forth in the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$, all analyses are required to examine the design hour volume for the adjacent roadway and peak hour traffic volume of the proposed development. The Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual ${ }^{(4 \& 5)}$ will be used to determined peak hour factors for the study area roadways.

The design hour volumes are determined by multiplying the AM and PM peak hour volumes by the appropriate factors from the ODOT Peak Hour to Design Hour Factor Report based on the functional classification of the roadway, the day of the week and the month that the traffic data was collected. A copy of the ODOT's Peak Hour to Design Hour Factor Report can be seen in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

The peak hour to design hour factors assigned to the study area roadways can be seen in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

## Peak Hour Factors

The intersection peak hour factor (PHF) is used to convert the hourly traffic volume into the flow rate that represents the busiest 15 minutes of the peak hour. The PHF is the sum of the traffic entering the intersection during the peak hour divided by four times the highest 15 minute volume during the peak hour. A PHF of 1 indicates that the traffic volume in each 15 minute volume is the same and therefore traffic flow is consistent throughout the hour. A lower PHF indicates a more variable traffic flow and that traffic volume has a spike during the peak 15 minute interval. PHF's under 0.80 occur in locations with highly peaked demand, such as at schools and factories during shift changes.

The ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation Manual ${ }^{(6)}$ provides guidance to use the existing year PHF for all intersections from traffic counts collected for the project. The PHF is calculated for the intersection as a whole and not individual approaches or movements. A minimum of 0.80 for the PHF is required to be utilized unless justified by highly peaked demands such as for schools and factories noted above. If project specific counts are not available, a default value of 0.92 is to be utilized for arterials.

It is assumed for this report that the PHF for the opening and design years are the same as the calculated PHF from the collected existing year traffic counts. The intersection PHF's are included in

## Appendices B \& D.

## Sheetz Development

A Sheetz development is currently under construction at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752. The Sheetz development was analyzed in a Traffic Impact Study dated March 17, 2021. The TIS was reviewed and approved by ODOT.

A copy of the traffic volume figures from the Sheetz TIS that were added to the No-Build background traffic volumes can be seen in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

The site generated traffic as detailed in the Sheetz TIS were added to the calculated No-Build traffic volumes detailed in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

## US Route 23 \& SR-316 Development

A Traffic Impact Study is currently being performed for a proposed mixed-used development at the southeast quadrant of the US Route 23 and State Route 316/Northup Avenue intersection. The development is expected to consist of retail space, commercial out lot parcels, multi-family units, duplex units, and single-family lots.

A copy of the traffic volume figures from the US 23/SR 316 Development TIS that were added to the NoBuild background traffic volumes can be seen in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

The site generated traffic as detailed in the US 23/SR 316 Development TIS were added to the calculated No-Build traffic volumes detailed in the Traffic Volume Forecast, Appendix B.

### 3.4 Future Traffic

## No-Build Conditions w/out Sheetz \& US 23/SR 316 Development

The previously discussed calculation of design hour factors and growth rates for each movement were applied to the existing 2022 traffic volumes in order to estimate the future traffic considering nonproject traffic conditions without the development of the Sheetz or the proposed mixed-use development.

Spreadsheets detailing the use of the calculated growth rates and the design hour factors and the resulting expected 2024 and 2044 No-Build traffic volumes can be found in Appendix D for the intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North. The remaining intersections can be seen in Appendix B.

The No-Build traffic volumes detailed in Appendices B \& D do not include the site generated traffic volumes from the Sheetz or the US 23/SR316 mixed-use development

Balancing traffic volumes is a process by which the differences between traffic volume data at adjacent traffic count locations is eliminated. The traffic volumes along State Route 752 were not "balanced" for the purpose of this report due to the number of driveways, intersections, and commercial/retail businesses between the three SR 752 count locations.

This traffic is the expected traffic if the proposed additional developments and the DHL facility are not constructed, a "No-Build w/out Additional Developments" condition. The estimated 2024 and 2044 No-Build w/out Additional Developments traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figures 3.5 \& 3.6, Appendix A.

The No-Build w/out Additional Developments traffic volumes have been rounded to the nearest 10 to adhere to preferred ODOT practices.

## No-Build Conditions w/ Sheetz \& US 23/SR 316 Development

In order to estimate the 2024 opening year No-Build traffic considering the background traffic and the additional developments in the study area, the sum of the 2024 No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.5, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Appendix B). These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the additional developments in the study area are constructed and the proposed DHL development is not constructed, or a "No-Build with Additional Development" condition.

The estimated 2024 opening year No-Build with Additional Development traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.7, Appendix A for the study area.

In order to estimate the 2044 design year No-Build traffic considering the background traffic and the additional developments in the study area, the sum of the 2044 No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.6, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Appendix B). These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the additional developments in the study area are constructed and the proposed DHL development is not constructed, or a "No-Build with Additional Development" condition.

The estimated 2044 design year No-Build with Additional Development traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.8, Appendix A for the study area.

## Project Build Conditions

In order to estimate the future opening year traffic considering project traffic conditions, the sum of the 2024 No-Build with Additional Development volumes, shown in Figure 3.7, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.3 \& 3.4) to equal the future 2024 Build peak hour volumes.

The estimated 2024 Build traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.9, Appendix A for the proposed development. These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the proposed development is constructed, or a "Build" condition. These conditions represent the expected opening year conditions.

In order to estimate the future design year traffic considering project traffic conditions, the sum of the 2044 with Additional Development No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.8, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.3-3.4) to equal the future 2044 Build peak hour volumes.

The estimated 2044 Build traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.10, Appendix A for the proposed development. These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the proposed development is constructed, or a "Build" condition. These conditions represent the expected design year conditions.

## Chapter 4 <br> Traffic Analysis

### 4.1 Capacity \& LOS at Study Area Intersection

## 2024 Traffic Analysis - No-Build \& Build Conditions

Traffic analyses were performed for the projected 2024 conditions under the No-Build and Build scenarios so:

1. any existing roadway/intersection deficiencies can be identified in the No-Build scenario which would not be attributable to the development, and;
2. a comparison can be made to determine the changes in the traffic operations which may be attributed to the development.

The traffic volumes used in the No-Build analyses can be seen in Figure 3.7, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the No-Build analyses are included in Appendix F.

The traffic volumes used in the Build analyses can be seen in Figure 3.9, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the Build analyses are included in Appendix G.

Intersection \#1-US Route 23 \& State Route 752

Comparison tables of the 2024 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.1-2024 AM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(US $23 \&$ SR 752)


Table 4.2-2024 PM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(US 23 \& SR 752)

| Intersection \#1 | 2024 PM Traffic Signal Control <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#1 | 2024 PMBuild Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) | US 23 \& SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBT | C | 30.1 | 0.269 | 0.00 | 83 | EBT | C | 31.1 | 0.250 | 0.00 | 90 |
| EBR | c | 30.0 | 0.251 | 0.24 | 67 | EBR | c | 30.9 | 0.221 | 0.26 | 73 |
| EB Approach | C | 30.0 | - | - | - | EB Approach | C | 31.0 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WBT | D | 35.4 | 0.720 | 0.00 | 233 | WBT | D | 38.8 | 0.772 | 0.00 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB Approach | D | 35.4 | - | - | - | WB Approach | D | 38.8 | - | - | - |
| NBL | C | 20.1 | 0.366 | 0.08 | 36 | NBL | C | 22.6 | 0.398 | 0.09 | 41 |
| NBT | C | 21.8 | 0.686 | 0.25 | 400 | NBT | C | 25.3 | 0.722 | 0.30 | 480 |
| NBR | C | 22.1 | 0.687 | 0.24 | 384 | NBR | C | 25.7 | 0.724 | 0.28 | 448 |
| NB Approach | C | 21.8 | - | - | - | NB Approach | C | 25.3 | - | - | - |
| SBL | B | 13.0 | 0.309 | 0.17 | 37 | SBL | B | 16.5 | 0.464 | 0.29 | 64 |
| SBT | D | 43.4 | 0.958 | 0.00 | 695 | SBT | D | 46.2 | 0.960 | 0.00 | 765 |
| SBR | D | 44.7 | 0.964 | 0.00 | 703 | SBR | D | 47.6 | 0.965 | 0.00 | 770 |
| SB Approach | D | 42.4 | - | - | - | SB Approach | D | 44.7 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | C | 33.9 | - | - | - | Intersection | D | 36.6 | - | - | - |

Conditions at the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with level-of-service D or better under the forecasted 2024 NoBuild conditions. No improvements were found to be necessary to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 No-Build conditions at the study area intersection.

The 2024 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 shown previously, indicate that the intersection and approach delays are impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2024 AM and PM peak hour conditions. An analysis will be performed in a later section of this report to determine what improvement will provide an acceptable level of service in the AM and PM peak periods that will adhere to the acceptable ranges shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## Intersection \#2 - State Route 752 \& Business Place North

Comparison tables of the 2024 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the unsignalized intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.3-2024 Traffic Analysis Results (SR 752 \& Business Place North)


Table 4.4-2024 Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& Business Place North)

| Intersection \#2 | 2024 PM <br> No-Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way Stop Control <br> $Q_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) | Intersection \#2 | 2024 PM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way top Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 752 \& Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c |  | SR 752 \& Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | Q ${ }_{95}$ \%tile (Veh/Ln) |
| EBL | A | 7.8 | 0.00 | 0.0 | EBL | A | 7.8 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| EB Approach | A | 0.0 | -- | -- | EB Approach | A | 0.0 | -- | -- |
| WBL | A | 7.9 | 0.01 | 0.0 | WBL | A | 8.2 | 0.06 | 0.2 |
| WB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- | WB Approach | A | 2.2 | -- | -- |
| NBT | B | 12.5 | 0.05 | 0.2 | NBT | C | 15.8 | 0.16 | 0.6 |
| NB Approach | B | 12.5 | -- | -- | NB Approach | C | 15.8 | -- | -- |
| SBT | B | 12.5 | 0.05 | 0.2 | SBT | B | 14.9 | 0.06 | 0.2 |
| SB Approach | B | 12.5 | -- | -- | SB Approach | B | 14.9 | -- | -- |

Conditions at the unsignalized intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with level-of-service $C$ or better under the forecasted 2024 No-Build conditions. No improvements were found to be necessary to improve the levels-ofservice under the 2024 No-Build conditions at the study area intersection.

The 2024 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North shown previously, indicate that the approach delays are not significantly impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2024 AM and PM peak hour conditions. The Build levels of service for all movements and approaches are within the acceptable range shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## Intersection \#3 - State Route 752 \& State Route 316/Ashville Pike

Comparison tables of the 2024 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the signalized intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316/Ashville Pike are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.5-2024 AM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& SR 316/Ashville Pike)

| Intersection \#3 | 2024 AM Traffic Signal Control <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#3 | 2024 AM  <br> Build Conditions Traffic Signal Control |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \text { \& } \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \text { \& } \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |
| EBL | B | 18.1 | 0.212 | 0.21 | 42 | EBL | B | 18.3 | 0.252 | 0.24 | 48 |
| EBT | C | 26.8 | 0.749 | 0.00 | 203 | EBT | C | 27.7 | 0.828 | 0.00 | 228 |
| EB Approach | C | 25.0 | - | - | - | EB Approach | C | 25.7 | - | - | - |
| WBL | B | 19.5 | 0.188 | 0.13 | 26 | WBL | B | 19.9 | 0.204 | 0.13 | 0 |
| WBT | C | 25.2 | 0.594 | 0.00 | 145 | WBT | C | 26.0 | 0.660 | 0.00 | 168 |
| WB Approach | C | 24.2 | - | - | - | WB Approach | C | 25.0 | - | - | - |
| NBL | B | 18.2 | 0.145 | 0.23 | 32 | NBL | B | 18.3 | 0.179 | 0.27 | 38 |
| NBT | C | 26.3 | 0.689 | 0.00 | 180 | NBT | C | 26.6 | 0.694 | 0.00 | 183 |
| NB Approach | C | 24.8 | - | - | - | NB Approach | C | 24.8 | - | - | - |
| SBL | C | 20.4 | 0.579 | 1.05 | 126 | SBL | C | 20.8 | 0.586 | 1.07 | 128 |
| SBT | C | 21.5 | 0.385 | 0.00 | 100 | SBT | C | 22.4 | 0.430 | 0.00 | 110 |
| SB Approach | C | 20.9 | - | - | - | SB Approach | C | 21.6 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | C | 23.6 | - | - | - | Intersection | C | 24.2 | - | - | - |

Table 4.6-2024 PM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& SR 316/Ashville Pike)


Conditions at the signalized intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316 during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with level-of-service C or better under the forecasted 2024 NoBuild conditions. The comparison tables indicates that the storage length of the southbound left turn lane may be inadequate for future 2024 No-Build conditions. An analysis will be performed in a later section of this report to determine the queue length need to mitigate the effect or any other improvement that could reduce the queue storage length. No improvements were found to be necessary to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 No-Build conditions at the study area intersection.

The 2024 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316 shown previously, indicate that the intersection and approach delays are not significantly impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2024 AM and PM peak hour conditions. The Build levels of service for all movements, approaches and the intersection are within the acceptable range shown in as Table 1.3 stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## 2024 Traffic Analysis - Improvements

Traffic analyses for 2024 Build conditions indicate that the development will impact the capacity at the intersection of US 23 at SR 752. The northbound right turn movement level-of-service was not found to be within the acceptable range shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

Certain improvements were tested with further capacity analyses in order to determine what mitigation would be necessary to improve the levels-of-service at the this intersection under the forecasted 2024 Build conditions.

The following Build improvements were determined to improve the levels-of-service at the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and SR 752.

- Construct a northbound right turn lane.
- Update signal sequence to include right turn overlap phase for eastbound right turn movement with protected left turn phase for northbound left turn movement.

The following table shows the capacity analysis results of the recommended improvements. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the intersection are included in Appendix H.

Table 4.7-2024 Traffic Analysis Results - Improvements
(US $23 \&$ SR 752)


The identified 2024 Build improvements will be included in all Build analysis going forward in this report.

## 2044 Traffic Analysis - No-Build \& Build Conditions

Traffic analyses were performed for the projected 2044 conditions under the No-Build and Build scenarios so:

1. any existing roadway/intersection deficiencies can be identified in the No-Build scenario which would not be attributable to the development, and;
2. a comparison can be made to determine the changes in the traffic operations which may be attributed to the development.

The traffic volumes used in the No-Build analyses can be seen in Figure 3.8, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the No-Build analyses are included in Appendix I.

The traffic volumes used in the Build analyses can be seen in Figure 3.10, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the Build analyses are included in Appendix J.

Intersection \#1-US Route 23 \& State Route 752

Comparison tables of the 2044 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.8-2044 AM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(US $23 \&$ SR 752)

| Intersection \#1 | 2044 AM Traffic Signal Control <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#1 | 2044 AM  <br> Build Conditions Traffic Signal Control |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) |  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBT | D | 47.4 | 0.543 | 0.00 | 170 | EBT | D | 40.9 | 0.439 | 0.00 | 155 |
| EBR | D | 42.8 | 0.254 | 0.30 | 84 | EBR | C | 31.8 | 0.154 | 0.25 | 70 |
| EB Approach | D | 45.8 | - | - | - | EB Approach | D | 37.8 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WBT | E | 65.1 | 0.829 | 0.00 | 343 | WBT | E | 72.6 | 0.913 | 0.00 | 465 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB Approach | E | 65.1 | - | - | - | WB Approach | E | 72.6 | - | - | - |
| NBL | B | 15.9 | 0.409 | 0.13 | 59 | NBL | C | 20.4 | 0.460 | 0.15 | 68 |
| NBT | F | 82.0 | 1.096 | 0.99 | 1584 | NBT | F | 83.4 | 1.106 | 0.89 | 1424 |
| NBR | F | 100.7 | 1.143 | 1.10 | 1760 | NBR | B | 16.7 | 0.373 | 0.14 | 224 |
| NB Approach | F | 87.9 | - | - | - | NB Approach | E | 71.6 | - | - | - |
| SBL | D | 51.7 | 0.788 | 0.64 | 141 | SBL | F | 106.6 | 0.992 | 1.55 | 341 |
| SBT | C | 21.8 | 0.721 | 0.00 | 508 | SBT | C | 28.3 | 0.785 | 0.00 | 583 |
| SBR | C | 21.9 | 0.723 | 0.00 | 505 | SBR | C | 28.5 | 0.786 | 0.00 | 580 |
| SB Approach | C | 24.1 | - | - | - | SB Approach | D | 36.0 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | E | 62.4 | - | - | - | Intersection | E | 58.0 | - | - | - |

Table 4.9-2044 PM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(US 23 \& SR 752)

| Intersection \#1 | 2044 PM Traffic Signal Cont <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |
| EBT | C | 34.0 | 0.283 | 0.00 | 95 | EBT | D | 37.5 | 0.257 | 0.00 | 110 |
| EBR | C | 33.8 | 0.259 | 0.27 | 76 | EBR | C | 31.5 | 0.176 | 0.29 | 81 |
| EB Approach | C | 33.9 | - | - | - | EB Approach | C | 34.8 | - | - | - |
| WBT | F | 95.6 | 1.022 | 0.00 | 503 | WBT | F | 104.5 | 1.040 | 0.00 | 628 |
| WB Approach | F | 95.6 | - | - | - | WB Approach | F | 104.5 | - | - | - |
| NBL | C | 22.9 | 0.406 | 0.10 | 45 | NBL | C | 28.3 | 0.473 | 0.13 | 59 |
| NBT | C | 30.8 | 0.859 | 0.41 | 656 | NBT | C | 25.1 | 0.769 | 0.37 | 592 |
| NBR | C | 32.3 | 0.871 | 0.41 | 656 | NBR | B | 15.6 | 0.264 | 0.10 | 160 |
| NB Approach | C | 31.1 | - | - | - | NB Approach | C | 24.0 | - | - | - |
| SBL | C | 21.2 | 0.514 | 0.30 | 66 | SBL | C | 22.3 | 0.632 | 0.44 | 97 |
| SBT | F | 107.3 | 1.160 | 0.00 | 1458 | SBT | F | 104.1 | 1.142 | 0.00 | 1565 |
| SBR | F | 111.8 | 1.171 | 0.00 | 1493 | SBR | F | 108.5 | 1.153 | 0.00 | 1598 |
| SB Approach | F | 105.1 | - | - | - | SB Approach | F | 100.9 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | E | 74.5 | - | - | - | Intersection | E | 70.6 | - | - | - |

Conditions at the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with movement and approach levels-of-service under the forecasted 2044 No-Build conditions that fail to meet the acceptable ranges as detailed in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

The 2044 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 shown previously, indicate that the intersection and approach delays are impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2044 AM and PM peak hour conditions. An analysis will be performed in a later section of this report to determine what improvement will provide an acceptable level-of-service in the AM and PM peak periods that will adhere to the acceptable ranges shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## Intersection \#2 - State Route 752 \& Business Place North

Comparison tables of the 2044 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the unsignalized intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.10-2044 Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& Business Place North)

| Intersection \#2 | 2044 AM <br> No-Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way Stop Control <br> Q $_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) | Intersection \#2 | 2044 AM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way Stop Control <br> Q $_{95}$ \%tile (Veh/Ln) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c |  | SR 752 \& Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c |  |
| EBL | A | 7.9 | 0.01 | 0.0 | EBL | A | 7.9 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| EB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- | EB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- |
| WBL | A | 7.8 | 0.01 | 0.0 | WBL | A | 8.0 | 0.04 | 0.1 |
| WB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- | WB Approach | A | 1.4 | -- | -- |
| NBT | B | 12.5 | 0.05 | 0.1 | NBT | C | 16.3 | 0.21 | 0.8 |
| NB Approach | B | 12.5 | -- | -- | NB Approach | C | 16.3 | -- | -- |
| SBT | A | 9.8 | 0.00 | 0.0 | SBT | A | 9.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| SB Approach | A | 9.8 | -- | -- | SB Approach | A | 9.9 | -- | -- |

Table 4.11-2044 Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& Business Place North)

| Intersection \#2 | 2044 PM <br> No-Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way Stop Control <br> $Q_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) | Intersection \#2 | 2044 PM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Two-Way top Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 752 \& Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c |  | SR 752 \& Business Place | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | Q ${ }_{95}$ \%tile (Veh/Ln) |
| EBL | A | 8.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | EBL | A | 8.1 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| EB Approach | A | 0.0 | -- | -- | EB Approach | A | 0.0 | -- | -- |
| WBL | A | 8.2 | 0.01 | 0.0 | WBL | A | 8.5 | 0.07 | 0.2 |
| WB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- | WB Approach | A | 2.0 | -- | -- |
| NBT | B | 14.9 | 0.06 | 0.2 | NBT | C | 20.3 | 0.21 | 0.8 |
| NB Approach | B | 14.9 | -- | -- | NB Approach | C | 20.3 | -- | -- |
| SBT | B | 14.9 | 0.06 | 0.2 | SBT | C | 18.5 | 0.08 | 0.3 |
| SB Approach | B | 14.9 | -- | -- | SB Approach | C | 18.5 | -- | -- |

Conditions at the unsignalized intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with level-of-service C or better under the forecasted 2044 No-Build conditions. No improvements were found to be necessary to improve the levels-ofservice under the 2044 No-Build conditions at the study area intersection.

The 2044 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of State Route 752 and Business Place North shown previously, indicate that the approach delays are not significantly impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2044 AM and PM peak hour conditions. The Build levels of service for all movements and approaches are within the acceptable range shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## Intersection \#3 - State Route 752 \& State Route 316/Ashville Pike

Comparison tables of the 2044 No-Build versus Build traffic analyses for the signalized intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316/Ashville Pike are shown in the following tables:

Table 4.12-2044 AM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& SR 316-Long Street/Ashville Pike)

| Intersection \#3 | 2044 AM Traffic Signal Control <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#3 | 2044 AM Traffic Signal Control <br> Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \& \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \& \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) |
| EBL | C | 24.7 | 0.358 | 0.39 | 78 | EBL | C | 25.3 | 0.395 | 0.46 | 92 |
| EBT | D | 37.3 | 0.897 | 0.00 | 365 | EBT | D | 39.2 | 0.922 | 0.00 | 415 |
| EB Approach | C | 34.7 | - | - | - | EB Approach | D | 36.3 | - | - | - |
| WBL | C | 26.0 | 0.295 | 0.24 | 48 | WBL | C | 27.3 | 0.329 | 0.25 | 50 |
| WBT | D | 37.0 | 0.710 | 0.00 | 285 | WBT | D | 38.2 | 0.722 | 0.00 | 318 |
| WB Approach | D | 35.2 | - | - | - | WB Approach | D | 36.5 | - | - | - |
| NBL | C | 23.4 | 0.227 | 0.52 | 73 | NBL | C | 25.1 | 0.265 | 0.64 | 90 |
| NBT | D | 38.1 | 0.896 | 0.00 | 355 | NBT | D | 39.9 | 0.898 | 0.00 | 373 |
| NB Approach | D | 35.1 | - | - | - | NB Approach | D | 36.6 | - | - | - |
| SBL | D | 41.6 | 0.871 | 2.38 | 286 | SBL | D | 44.5 | 0.883 | 2.52 | 302 |
| SBT | C | 25.3 | 0.435 | 0.00 | 193 | SBT | C | 26.9 | 0.458 | 0.00 | 213 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB Approach | C | 34.6 | - | - | - | SB Approach | D | 36.7 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | c | 34.9 | - | - | - | Intersection | D | 36.5 | - | - | - |

Table 4.13-2044 PM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& SR 316-Long Street/Ashville Pike)

| Intersection \#3 | 2044 PM Traffic Signal Control <br> No-Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  | Intersection \#3 | 2044 PM Traffic Signal Control <br> Build Conditions  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \& \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 752 \& \\ \text { SR } 316 \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |
| EBL | C | 29.9 | 0.549 | 0.66 | 132 | EBL | C | 34.3 | 0.630 | 0.85 | 170 |
| EBT | D | 41.1 | 0.782 | 0.00 | 383 | EBT | D | 42.8 | 0.756 | 0.00 | 440 |
| EB Approach | D | 38.0 | - | - | - | EB Approach | D | 40.4 | - | - | - |
| WBL | C | 31.0 | 0.535 | 0.64 | 128 | WBL | D | 35.4 | 0.551 | 0.75 | 150 |
| WBT | D | 41.2 | 0.838 | 0.00 | 400 | WBT | D | 46.8 | 0.857 | 0.00 | 490 |
| WB Approach | D | 38.6 | - | - | - | WB Approach | D | 44.0 | - | - | - |
| NBL | C | 27.0 | 0.370 | 0.44 | 62 | NBL | C | 32.1 | 0.498 | 0.62 | 87 |
| NBT | C | 31.0 | 0.558 | 0.00 | 305 | NBT | C | 33.8 | 0.533 | 0.00 | 340 |
| NB Approach | C | 30.3 | - | - | - | NB Approach | C | 33.4 | - | - | - |
| SBL | C | 22.5 | 0.342 | 0.89 | 107 | SBL | C | 24.8 | 0.337 | 1.03 | 124 |
| SBT | D | 36.0 | 0.884 | 0.00 | 528 | SBT | D | 40.5 | 0.898 | 0.00 | 638 |
| SB Approach | C | 33.4 | - | - | - | SB Approach | D | 37.6 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | D | 35.2 | - | - | - | Intersection | D | 39.1 | - | - | - |

Conditions at the signalized intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316 during the AM and PM peak hours were determined to operate with level-of-service D or better under the forecasted 2044 NoBuild conditions. The comparison tables indicates that the storage length of the southbound left turn lane may be inadequate for future 2044 No-Build conditions. An analysis will be performed in a later section of this report to determine the queue length need to mitigate the effect or any other improvement that could reduce the queue storage length. No improvements were found to be necessary to improve the levels-of-service under the 2044 No-Build conditions at the study area intersection.

The 2044 AM and PM peak hour comparison tables for the intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316 shown previously, indicate that the intersection and approach delays are not significantly impacted with the addition of the development generated traffic under the forecasted 2044 AM and PM peak hour conditions. The Build levels of service for all movements and approaches are within the acceptable range shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

## 2044 Traffic Analysis - Improvements

Traffic analyses for 2044 No-Build conditions revealed issues at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 where levels-of-service were not found to be within the acceptable range shown in Table 1.3 as stated in the ODOT OATS Manual ${ }^{(6)}$.

Certain improvements were tested with further capacity analyses in order to determine what mitigation would be necessary to improve the levels-of-service at this intersection under the forecasted 2044 No-Build conditions.

The following No-Build improvement were determined to improve the levels-of-service at the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and SR 752.

- Construct a northbound right turn lane (2024 Build).
- Construct a southbound right turn lane.
- Construct a westbound right turn lane.
- Update signal sequence to include right turn overlap phases with the protected left turn phases.

The following table shows the capacity analysis results of the recommended improvements. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the intersection are included in Appendix K.

Table 4.14-2044 Traffic Analysis Results - Improvements
(US 23 \& SR 752)

| Intersection \#1 | 2044 AM <br> No-Build Conditions |  |  | Traffic Signal Control Improvements |  | Intersection \#1 | 2044 PM <br> No-Build Conditions |  |  | Traffic Signal Control Improvements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile Queue (ft) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBT | D | 41.8 | 0.513 | 0.00 | 140 | EBT | D | 41.7 | 0.285 | 0.00 | 118 |
| EBR | C | 32.9 | 0.214 | 0.23 | 64 | EBR | D | 35.3 | 0.205 | 0.31 | 87 |
| EB Approach | D | 38.7 | - | - | - | EB Approach | D | 38.8 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WBT | D | 43.1 | 0.609 | 0.00 | 165 | WBT | E | 61.5 | 0.808 | 0.00 | 340 |
| WBR | C | 34.4 | 0.317 | 0.00 | 95 | WBR | D | 36.1 | 0.241 | 0.00 | 103 |
| WB Approach | D | 39.6 | - | - | - | WB Approach | D | 54.6 | - | - | - |
| NBL | B | 13.1 | 0.366 | 0.10 | 45 | NBL | C | 29.1 | 0.473 | 0.14 | 63 |
| NBT | F | 43.9 | 1.014 | 0.60 | 960 | NBT | C | 20.3 | 0.713 | 0.33 | 528 |
| NBR | B | 10.6 | 0.288 | 0.08 | 130 | NBR | B | 12.3 | 0.195 | 0.07 | 105 |
| NB Approach | D | 38.7 | - | - | - | NB Approach | B | 19.8 | - | - | - |
| SBL | C | 25.4 | 0.664 | 0.39 | 86 | SBL | B | 16.4 | 0.438 | 0.25 | 55 |
| SBT | B | 17.2 | 0.734 | 0.00 | 398 | SBT | F | 72.7 | 1.085 | 0.00 | 1288 |
| SBR | A | 8.5 | 0.042 | 0.00 | 15 | SBR | B | 10.9 | 0.060 | 0.00 | 30 |
| SB Approach | B | 17.6 | - | - | - | SB Approach | E | 68.3 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | C | 31.4 | - | - | - | Intersection | D | 48.4 | - | - | - |

In order to further improve the levels-of-service at intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 additional through lanes would likely be necessary. Due to the existing lane use and adjacent land uses any geometric improvements would be high cost and would likely impact the adjacent intersections and land uses. These type of improvements at the intersection would likely be unfeasible and therefore no further consideration to mitigating the levels of service will be given at this location.

Traffic analyses for 2044 Build conditions indicates that the development will impact the capacity at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 . Certain improvements were tested with further capacity analyses in order to determine what further mitigation would be necessary to improve the levels-of-service at the this intersection under the forecasted 2044 Build conditions.

The following Build improvement were determined to improve the levels-of-service at the signalized intersection of US Route 23 and SR 752.

- Construct a northbound right turn lane (2044 No-Build).
- Construct a southbound right turn lane (2044 No-Build).
- Construct a westbound right turn lane (2044 No-Build).
- Update signal sequence to include right turn overlap phases with the protected left turn phases (2044 No-Build).

The following table shows the capacity analysis results of the recommended improvements. Copies of the capacity worksheets for the intersection are included in Appendix L.

Table 4.15-2044 Traffic Analysis Results - Improvements
(US 23 \& SR 752)

| Intersection \#1 | 2044 AM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Traffic Signal Control Improvements |  | Intersection \#1 | 2044 PM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Traffic Signal Control Improvements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |  <br> SR 752 | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | QSR | 95th \%tile <br> Queue (ft) |
| EBT | D | 45.9 | 0.441 | 0.00 | 165 | EBT | D | 40.0 | 0.283 | 0.00 | 113 |
| EBR | D | 37.1 | 0.192 | 0.27 | 76 | EBR | C | 33.7 | 0.204 | 0.30 | 84 |
| EB Approach | D | 42.8 | - | - | - | EB Approach | D | 37.2 | - | - | - |
| WBT | D | 53.6 | 0.720 | 0.00 | 260 | WBT | E | 69.1 | 0.876 | 0.00 | 380 |
| WBR | D | 37.9 | 0.349 | 0.00 | 150 | WBR | C | 34.8 | 0.286 | 0.00 | 118 |
| WB Approach | D | 47.3 | - | - | - | WB Approach | E | 59.1 | - | - | - |
| NBL | B | 16.2 | 0.408 | 0.13 | 59 | NBL | C | 27.5 | 0.456 | 0.13 | 59 |
| NBT | F | 52.4 | 1.028 | 0.73 | 1168 | NBT | C | 20.6 | 0.726 | 0.32 | 512 |
| NBR | B | 13.7 | 0.347 | 0.13 | 205 | NBR | B | 13.0 | 0.249 | 0.08 | 135 |
| NB Approach | D | 45.6 | - | - | - | NB Approach | B | 19.9 | - | - | - |
| SBL | D | 41.8 | 0.857 | 1.06 | 233 | SBL | B | 18.1 | 0.567 | 0.37 | 81 |
| SBT | B | 19.1 | 0.722 | 0.00 | 470 | SBT | F | 78.8 | 1.102 | 0.00 | 1303 |
| SBR | A | 9.7 | 0.042 | 0.00 | 20 | SBR | B | 10.9 | 0.061 | 0.00 | 30 |
| SB Approach | C | 21.1 | - | - | - | SB Approach | E | 73.2 | - | - | - |
| Intersection | D | 37.2 | - | - | - | Intersection | D | 51.1 | - | - | - |

In order to further improve the levels-of-service at intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752 additional through lanes would likely be necessary. Due to the existing lane use and adjacent land uses any geometric improvements would be high cost and would likely impact the adjacent intersections and land uses. These type of improvements at the intersection would likely be unfeasible and therefore no further consideration to mitigating the levels of service will be given at this location.

## Comparative Analysis

A comparison was performed to show the incremental effects on the capacity at the study area intersections due to the construction of the proposed development under the opening and design year conditions.

The following tables shows a side by side comparison of the Build versus No-Build conditions including improvements for the 2024 AM and PM peak hours at the study area intersections.

Table 4.16-2024 AM Peak Hour Comparison Table

|  | No-Build - AM |  | Build - AM <br> No Improvement |  | Build - AM Improvement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1-US 23 \& SR 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | D | 36.2 | D | 38.3 | C | 28.9 |
| WB | D | 38.1 | D | 43.8 | D | 35.3 |
| NB | D | 37.7 | D | 54.2 | C | 34.0 |
| SB | B | 16.2 | C | 20.9 | C | 21.0 |
| Overall Int. | C | 30.6 | D | 41.8 | C | 29.6 |
| \#2 - SR 752 \& BUSINESS PLACE NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBL | A | 7.7 | A | 7.8 |  |  |
| WBL | A | 7.7 | A | 7.8 |  |  |
| NB | B | 11.3 | B | 14.1 |  |  |
| SB | A | 9.4 | A | 9.5 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| \#3 - SR 752 \& SR 316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | C | 25.0 | C | 25.7 |  |  |
| WB | C | 24.2 | C | 25.0 |  |  |
| NB | C | 24.8 | C | 24.8 |  |  |
| SB | C | 20.9 | C | 21.6 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | C | 23.3 | C | 24.2 |  |  |

Table 4.17-2024 PM Peak Hour Comparison Table

|  | No-Build - PM |  | Build - PM <br> No Improvement |  |  |  |  |  | Build - AM <br> Improvement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 - US 23 \& SR 752 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | C | 30.0 | C | 31.0 | C | 28.6 |  |  |  |  |
| WB | D | 35.4 | D | 38.8 | D | 38.2 |  |  |  |  |
| NB | C | 21.8 | C | 25.3 | B | 19.6 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | D | 42.4 | D | 44.7 | D | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Int. | C | 33.9 | D | $\mathbf{3 6 . 6}$ | C | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |

\#2 - SR 752 \& BUSINESS PLACE NORTH

| EBL | A | 7.8 | A | 7.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WBL | A | 7.9 | A | 8.2 |
| NB | B | 12.5 | C | 15.8 |
| SB | B | 12.5 | B | 14.9 |
| Overall Int. | -- | -- | -- | -- |

\#3 - SR 752 \& SR 316

| EB | C | 25.2 | C | 27.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB | C | 24.8 | C | 27.7 |
| NB | C | 24.7 | C | 23.3 |
| SB | C | 25.8 | C | 26.7 |
| Overall Int. | C | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2}$ | C | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ |

The following tables shows a side by side comparison of the Build versus No-Build conditions including improvements for the 2044 AM and PM peak hours at the study area intersections.

Table 4.18-2044 AM Peak Hour Comparison Table

|  | No-Build - AM |  | No-Build - AM Improvement |  | Build - AM <br> No Improvement |  | Build - AM Improvement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1-US 23 \& SR 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | D | 45.8 | D | 38.7 | D | 37.8 | D | 42.8 |
| WB | E | 65.1 | D | 39.6 | E | 72.6 | D | 47.3 |
| NB | F | 87.9 | D | 38.7 | E | 71.6 | D | 45.6 |
| SB | C | 24.1 | B | 17.6 | D | 36.0 | C | 21.1 |
| Overall Int. | E | 62.4 | C | 31.4 | E | 58.0 | D | 37.2 |
| \#2 - SR 752 \& BUSINESS PLACE NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBL | A | 7.9 |  |  | A | 7.9 |  |  |
| WBL | A | 7.8 |  |  | A | 8.0 |  |  |
| NB | B | 12.5 |  |  | C | 16.3 |  |  |
| SB | A | 9.8 |  |  | A | 9.9 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | -- | -- |  |  | -- | -- |  |  |
| \#3 - SR 752 \& SR 316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | C | 34.7 |  |  | D | 36.3 |  |  |
| WB | D | 35.2 |  |  | D | 36.5 |  |  |
| NB | D | 35.1 |  |  | D | 36.6 |  |  |
| SB | C | 34.6 |  |  | D | 36.7 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | C | 34.9 |  |  | D | 36.5 |  |  |

Table 4.19-2044 PM Peak Hour Comparison Table

|  | No Build - PM |  | No-Build - PM Improvement |  | Build - PM <br> No Improvement |  | Build - PM Improvement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1-US 23 \& SR 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | C | 33.9 | D | 38.8 | C | 34.8 | D | 37.2 |
| WB | F | 95.6 | D | 54.6 | F | 104.5 | E | 59.1 |
| NB | C | 31.1 | B | 19.8 | C | 24.0 | B | 19.9 |
| SB | F | 105.1 | E | 68.3 | F | 100.9 | E | 73.2 |
| Overall Int. | E | 74.5 | D | 48.4 | E | 70.6 | D | 51.1 |
| \#2 - SR 752 \& BUSINESS PLACE NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBL | A | 8.0 |  |  | A | 8.1 |  |  |
| WBL | A | 8.2 |  |  | A | 8.5 |  |  |
| NB | B | 14.9 |  |  | C | 20.3 |  |  |
| SB | B | 14.9 |  |  | C | 18.5 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | -- | -- |  |  | -- | -- |  |  |
| \#3 - SR 752 \& SR 316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | D | 38.0 |  |  | D | 40.4 |  |  |
| WB | D | 38.6 |  |  | D | 44.0 |  |  |
| NB | C | 30.3 |  |  | C | 33.4 |  |  |
| SB | C | 33.4 |  |  | D | 37.6 |  |  |
| Overall Int. | D | 35.2 |  |  | D | 39.1 |  |  |

### 4.2 Capacity \& LOS at Development Access Intersections

Capacity analyses were performed for the proposed site access driveway on State Route752. The procedures outlined in the computerized version of the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual $\mathbf{7}^{\text {TH }}$ Edition ${ }^{(1)}$, (HCS2022, Release 8.1) were utilized for stop sign controlled intersections.

## Build Condition - 2024 Capacity Analysis

Analyses were performed for the projected 2024 conditions under the Build scenario to determine the future levels-of-service at the intersection where site access is available. The analysis will be based on permitting all ingress and egress movements at the proposed access location. The traffic volumes used in this analysis can be seen in Figure 3.9, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets are included in Appendix M. The results of the 2024 Build analyses are shown in the following table.

Table 4.20-2024 Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& Proposed Access)

| Access \#1 | 2024 AM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Minor Street Stop Control | Access \#1 | 2024 PM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | inor Street top Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 752 \& Access | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | Q95 \%tile (Veh/Ln) | SR 752 \& Access | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | $Q_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) |
| WBL | A | 8.3 | 0.01 | 0.0 | EBL | A | 8.2 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
| WB Approach | A | 0.5 | -- | -- | EB Approach | A | 0.6 | -- | -- |
| NBT | B | 14.8 | 0.16 | 0.6 | SBR | B | 14.4 | 0.11 | 0.4 |
| NB Approach | B | 14.8 | -- | -- | SB Approach | B | 14.4 | -- | -- |

The capacity of the approaches and critical movements at the proposed access intersection along State Route 752 were found to be at a level-of-service B or better in the AM and PM peak hours.

## Build Condition - 2044 Capacity Analysis

Analyses were performed for the projected 2044 conditions under the Build scenario to determine the future levels-of-service at the proposed intersection where site access is available. The analysis will be based on permitting all ingress and egress movements at the proposed access location. The traffic volumes used in this analysis can be seen in Figure 3.10, Appendix A. Copies of the capacity worksheets are included in Appendix N. The results of the 2044 Build analyses are shown in the following table.

Table 4.21-2024 Traffic Analysis Results
(SR 752 \& Proposed Access)

| Access \#1 | 2044 AM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Minor Street Stop Control | Access \#1 | 2044 PM <br> Build Conditions |  |  | Minor Street Stop Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 752 \& Access | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | $Q_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) | SR 752 \& Access | LOS | Delay (sec/veh) | v/c | Q $_{95} \%$ tile (Veh/Ln) |
| WBL | A | 8.5 | 0.01 | 0.0 | EBL | A | 8.4 | 0.02 | 0.1 |
| WB Approach | A | 0.4 | -- | -- | EB Approach | A | 0.5 | -- | -- |
| NBT | C | 16.7 | 0.19 | 0.7 | SBR | C | 16.5 | 0.13 | 0.4 |
| NB Approach | C | 16.7 | -- | -- | SB Approach | C | 16.5 | -- | -- |

The capacity of the approaches and critical movements at the proposed access intersection along State Route 752 were found to be at a level-of-service C or better in the AM and PM peak hours.

### 4.3 Auxiliary Turning Lane Warrant Analysis

It is the intent of this report to evaluate the need for exclusive deceleration and turning lanes at the proposed State Route 752 access location based on the following conditions:

- Two-lane roadway
- $\quad$ Posted speed limit of 55 miles per hour

The following table shows the results of the analysis of the need for exclusive deceleration and turn lanes on State Route 752 at the access driveway under the forecasted 2024 and 2044 Build conditions. Copies of the ODOT turn lane warrant graphs can be seen in Appendix 0.

Table 4.22 Turn Lane Warrants
(SR 752 \& Access Driveway)

| State Route 752 @ <br> Development Access Driveway | 2024 | 2044 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westbound Left Turn Lane | NO | PM | AM | PM |
| Eastbound Right Turn Lane | YES | YES | YO | YES |

The results of the turn lane analyses indicate an exclusive westbound left turn lane on State Route 752 at the access driveway is warranted under the expected 2044 PM peak hour Build conditions.

The results of the turn lane analyses indicate that an exclusive eastbound right turn lane on State Route 752 at the access driveway is warranted under the expected 2024 and 2044 Build conditions.

### 4.4 Turn Lane Length Analysis

Analyses were performed to determine the necessary turn lane storage lengths in order to accommodate the recommended turns lanes and turn lanes found deficient in length at the study area intersections.

The calculations will be based on the Year 2044 peak hour Build conditions.

The turn lane calculations will be based on the following conditions:

US Route 23 \& State Route 752

- Traffic Control (Signalized)
- Design Speed $>40$ Miles Per Hour
- NB \& WB Right Turn Movement > 10\% of Approach Volume
= High Turn Demand Volume
- Condition B or C
- SB Right Turn Movement < 10\% of Approach Volume
= Low Turn Demand Volume
- Condition B

State Route 752 \& SR 316/Ashville Pike

- Traffic Control (Signalized)

■ Design Speed < 40 Miles Per Hour

- Condition A

State Route 752 \& Proposed Access Driveway

- Traffic Control (Un-Signalized)

■ Design Speed $>40$ Miles Per Hour
■ WB Left Turn Movement < 10\% of Approach Volume
= Low Turn Demand Volume

- Condition B

■ EB Right Turn Movement > 10\% of Approach Volume
= High Turn Demand Volume

- Condition B or C

The following tables details the results of the turn lane length analyses based upon the highest anticipated turn volumes at the intersections under the expected 2044 Build peak hour conditions.

Table 4.23 Turn Lane Length Analysis
(US Route 23 \& SR 752)

| Movement <br> Direction | DHV | No. of <br> Lanes | Cycles <br> Hour <br> Horage <br> Veh/ <br> Cycle/ <br> Lane | Design <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Fig.401- <br> 10 <br> Storage <br> Length <br> (ft) | Fig. 401-9 <br> Condition | Backup <br> Length <br> (ft) | Turn Lane <br> Length* <br> (ft) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB T | 2067 | 2 | 30 | 34.45 | 60 | 1125 |  |  | 1125 |  |
| SB RT | 55 | 1 | 30 | 1.83 | 60 | 100 | 345 |  |  | $800^{*}$ |
| NB T | 1797 | 2 | 30 | 29.95 | 60 | 975 |  |  | 975 |  |
| NB RT | 293 | 1 | 30 | 9.77 | 60 | 375 | 345 | 560 |  | $800^{*}$ |
| WB RT | 121 | 2 | 30 | 2.02 | 60 | 150 | 345 | 335 |  | $375^{*}$ |
| WB T/LT | 277 | 1 | 30 | 9.23 | 60 | 375 |  |  | 375 |  |

* Includes 50' Taper

Table 4.24 Turn Lane Length Analysis
(SR 752 \& SR 316/Ashville Pike)

| Movement <br> Direction | DHV | No. of <br> Lanes | Cycles <br> Hour <br> Hour | Average <br> Veh/ <br> Cycle/ <br> Lane | Design <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Fig.401- <br> 10 <br> Storage <br> Length <br> (ft) | Fig.401-9 <br> Condition | Backup <br> Length <br> (ft) | Turn <br> Lane <br> Length* <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB LT | 260 | 1 | 30 | 8.67 | 35 | 350 | 400 |  | $600^{*}$ |
| SB T/R | 526 | 1 | 30 | 17.53 | 35 | 625 |  | 625 |  |

Table 4.25 Turn Lane Length Analysis
(SR 752 \& Proposed Driveway)

| Movement | DHV | No. of <br> Lanes <br> Direction | Cycles <br> / <br> Hour | Average <br> Veh/ <br> Cycle/ <br> Lane | Design <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Fig.401- <br> 10 <br> Storage <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathbf{B}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ | Fig.401-9 <br> Condition | Backup <br> Length <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB LT | 17 | 2 | 30 | 0.28 | 60 | 50 | 345 |  | Turn Lane <br> Length* <br> (ft) |  |
| EB RT | 62 | 1 | 30 | 2.07 | 60 | 100 | 345 | 285 |  | $345^{*}$ |

* Includes 50' Taper


### 4.5 Improvements to Accommodate Study Area Traffic

## Recommended Improvements to Serve Future Conditions without the Development

The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 NoBuild conditions at the study area intersections.

SR 752 and SR 316/Ashville Pike (Village of Ashville)
■ Extend the length of the southbound left turn lane (600').

## Recommended Improvements to Mitigate the Traffic Associated with the Development

The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 Build conditions at the study area intersections.

US Route 23 and SR 752 (ODOT)
■ Construct a northbound right turn lane (800').

## 2024 Development Access Recommendations

The following lane use and traffic control is recommended at the intersections where access to the site is proposed.

State Route 752 \& Proposed Site Access Driveway (Village of Ashville)

- Construct an exclusive eastbound right turn lane (345') for the opening year of the development.
- Construct the proposed south approach with one egress lanes and one ingress lane.
- Install stop sign control on the northbound approach.


## 2044 Development Access Recommendations

The following lane use and traffic control is recommended at the intersections where access to the site is proposed.

State Route 752 \& Proposed Site Access Driveway (Village of Ashville)

- Construct an exclusive westbound left turn lane (345') in the opening year of the development.

The recommended lane use and traffic control for the study area to accommodate expected traffic volumes can be seen in Figure 4.1, Appendix A.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusions

Based on the results of the analyses, we offer the following conclusions and recommendations:
5.1 This Traffic Impact Study (TIS) has been prepared at the request of Poggemeyer Design Group for a proposed DHL facility. The proposed development is located in the Village of Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio.
5.2 The development is expected to consist of a single 545,200 square foot building. The proposed building is located along the south side of State Route 752 to the east of US Route 23 and to the west of Business Place North. The building and site is expected to accommodate land uses related to commerce and fulfillment operations.
5.3 The year 2024 was analyzed for the opening year conditions of the development based on the expected development time line.
5.4 The development is proposed with two access locations. The project proposes an intersection along State Route 752 that would provide full access to the site. A second access location is proposed along Business Place North.
5.5 A Traffic Volume Forecast was previously prepared for use in this Traffic Impact Study. The development and submission of the traffic volume forecasts for the proposed project are intended to follow the TIS Review Process detailed in Section 9.32 and the TIS Flow Chart shown Figure 9.1 of the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual (7). A copy of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast report can be seen in Appendix A.
5.6 The project has significantly changed to include only one proposed building since the completion of the July 18, 2022 Traffic Volume Forecast. The traffic volume forecast was updated within this TIS per the procedures, guidelines, and assumptions that were made in the July 18, 2022 forecast document.
5.7 The weekday peak hours of traffic for the study area roadways were based on the traffic data collected for this report. The weekday AM peak hour of traffic was determined to be 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM. The weekday PM peak hour of traffic was found to be 3:45 PM to 4:45 PM. These periods were analyzed since they reflect the period of the highest volume of traffic flow for the study area roadways.
5.8 The proposed development is expected to generate the following hourly traffic volumes during the peak periods as shown in the table below:

| OPENING YEAR | SIZE | TRIP ENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weekday Peak Hour Between 7-9 AM |  |  |  | Weekday Peak Hour <br> Between 4-6 PM |  |  |  |
|  |  | ENTER |  | EXIT |  | ENTER |  | EXIT |  |
|  |  | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks |
| 2024 | 545,200 SF | 103 | 15 | 102 | 15 | 139 | 10 | 66 | 10 |
| TOTAL NEW TRIPS |  | 118 |  | 117 |  | 149 |  | 76 |  |
|  |  | 235 |  |  |  | 225 |  |  |  |

5.9 The year 2044 was forecasted for the twenty year design hour conditions in the July 18, 2022 forecast document. The year 2044 will continued to be used for the design year in order to provide a conservative analysis of the expected future conditions in the study area and to provide consistency with the previously prepared forecast document.
5.10 The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 No-Build conditions at the study area intersections.

SR 752 and SR 316/Ashville Pike (Village of Ashville)

- Extend the length of the southbound left turn lane (600').
5.11 The following improvements are recommended to improve the levels-of-service under the 2024 Build conditions at the study area intersections.

US Route 23 and SR 752 (ODOT)

- Construct a northbound right turn lane (800').
5.12 The following lane use and traffic control is recommended at the intersection where access to the site is proposed.

State Route 752 \& Proposed Site Access Driveway (Village of Ashville)

- Construct an exclusive eastbound right turn lane (345') for the opening year of the development (2024 Build).
- Construct an exclusive westbound left turn lane (345') in the opening year of the development (2024 Build).
- Construct the proposed south approach with one egress lanes and one ingress lane (2024 Build).
- Install stop sign control on the northbound approach (2024 Build).
5.13 Based upon the results of the analysis in this study and the corresponding recommendations, it can be seen that the development traffic can be accommodated without adversely impacting the area roadway network.
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## Chapter 1 <br> Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of Report

This traffic volume forecast has been prepared at the request of the Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc. for a Traffic Impact Study that will be prepared for a proposed DHL facility. The development and submission of the traffic volume forecasts for the proposed project are intended to follow the TIS Review Process detailed in Section 9.32 and the TIS Flow Chart shown Figure 9.1 of the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$.

The proposed development is located in the Village of Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio. Figure 1.1, Appendix A details the development location.

The development is expected to consist of an industrial park type development. The site is expected to accommodate land uses related to commerce and fulfillment operations. The following table details the proposed buildings, the development schedule, and building sizes:

Table 1.1 - Development Details

| BUILDING <br> $\#$ | LOCATION | OPENING <br> YEAR | SIZE <br> (Square Feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | North of SR 752 | 2024 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 2$ | North of SR 752 | 2025 | 793,440 |
| $\# 3$ | North of SR 752 | 2026 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 4$ | South of SR 752 | 2027 | 572,460 |
| $\# 5$ | South of SR 752 | 2028 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 6$ | South of SR 752 | 2029 | $1,006,880$ |
|  |  | 2030 | T0TAL |
|  |  |  | $5,911,360$ |

The year 2024 with Building \#1 will be analyzed for the opening year conditions of the development based on the expected time line. The development is currently expected to reach full build out of the seven buildings in the year 2030. The year 2030 will be analyzed for the full build conditions, the build year. The design year for the proposed project will be based on the opening year and the expected volume of new site generated traffic under the full build condition of the seven buildings.

Buildings \#1-\#3 are located north of State Route 752 and to the east of US Route 23. Buildings \#4 \#7 are located between State Route 752 to the north and State Route 316 to the south and east of US Route 23.

The development is proposed with two access locations. The project proposes an intersection along State Route 752 that would provide full access to the portions of the development along the north and south side of State Route 752. A second full access intersection is proposed along State Route 316 and would provide direct access to the south side of the development and a connection to the proposed intersection at State Route 752. Figure 1.2, Appendix A shows the proposed development site plan.

It should noted that the site plan shown in Figure 1.2 details two additional access driveways with one each along State Route 752 and State Route 316. These driveways will not provide ingress/egress to the building sites and will not be constructed as part of the proposed project.

### 1.2 References

The following list of references may be utilized for this report and the forecasts contained within it:

1. Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C.
2. Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, 2012 Edition. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Traffic Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
3. Location and Design Manual, Volume 1, Roadway Design. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
4. Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual, Volume 1, Traffic Forecasting Background. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Statewide Planning \& Research, Columbus, Ohio.
5. Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual, Volume 2, Traffic Forecasting Methodologies. Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Statewide Planning \& Research, Columbus, Ohio.
6. ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation Manual (OATS), Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
7. State Highway Access Management Manual, Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
8. Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, September 2021, Institute of Transportation Engineers, (ITE), Washington, D.C.
9. Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, September 2017, Institute of Transportation Engineers, (ITE), Washington, D.C.
10. Traffic Engineering Manual, October 23, 2002 Edition (Revised January 15, 2021), Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Roadway Engineering, Columbus, Ohio.
11. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green Book), $7^{\mathrm{TH}}$ Edition, September 2018, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.
12. Access Management Manual, $2^{\mathrm{ND}}$ Edition, 2014. Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C.

## Chapter 2

## Area Conditions

### 2.1 Transportation Network Study Area

The study area for the proposed development includes the previously discussed development access locations as shown in Figure 1.2, Appendix A and the following intersections:

1. US Route 23 \& State Route 752/Rudi Lane
2. US Route 23 \& State Route 316/North Street
3. US Route 23 \& Northup Avenue/State Route 316
4. State Route 752 \& Ashville Pike
5. $\quad$ State Route 316 \& Miller Avenue/County Road 28

Rudi Lane is proposed roadway that will be constructed as the west approach at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752. The proposed roadway is part of the project to construct a Sheetz development at the intersection.

The Ohio Department of Transportation maintains the traffic signal control facilities at the intersections along US Route 23. The Village of Ashville maintains the traffic signal control facility at the intersection of State Route 752 and State Route 316/Ashville Pike.

A location map detailing the traffic count locations can be seen in Figure 2.1, Appendix A..

The following table details the primary characteristics of the study area roadways:

Table 2.1 Roadway Characteristics

| ROADWAY | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { LANES } \end{gathered}$ | ORIENTATION | SPEED LIMIT (MPH) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | POSTED | DESIGN |
| US Route 23 @ SR 752 | 4 | North-South | 50 | 55 |
| US Route 23 @ SR 316 (North) | 4 | North-South | 35 | 35 |
| US Route 23 @ SR 316 (South) | 4 | North-South | 50 | 35 |
| Ashville Pike | 2 | North-South | 35 | 35 |
| Miller Avenue | 2 | North-South | 25 | 25 |
| County Road 28 | 2 | North-South | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 752 @ US 23 | 2 | East-West | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 752 @ Proposed Access | 2 | East-West | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 752 @ Ashville Pike | 2 | East-West | 35 | 35 |
| State Route 316 (West of US 23) | 2 | East-West | 35 | 35 |
| State Route 316 (East of US 23) | 2 | East-West | 35 | 35 |
| State Route 316 @ Proposed Access | 2 | East-West | 55 | 60 |
| State Route 316 @ CR 28 | 2 | East-West | 35 | 35 |
| Rudi Lane | 2 | East-West | 25 | 25 |
| North Street | 2 | East-West | 25 | 25 |
| Northup Avenue | 2 | East-West | 25 | 25 |

An aerial view of the of the study area can be seen in Figure 2.2 Appendix A.

Figure 2.3, Appendix A shows the lane use and traffic control conditions based upon the existing conditions in the study area. These will be considered the existing base conditions for this report.

### 2.2 Functional Classification

The Ohio Department of Transportation functionally classifies roadways to help define a roadway's characteristics as well as identify roadways that are eligible for federal funds. Functional classification is the grouping of roads, streets, and highways in a hierarchy based on the type of highway service they provide. Generally, streets and highways perform two types of service. They provide either traffic mobility or land access and can be ranked in terms of the proportion of service they provide.

The functional classification as determined by ODOT will be used in this report to apply growth and design hour factors to the study area roadways for use in forecasting the future traffic volumes in the study area. These factors are determined using data, guidelines, and methodology supplied by ODOT. These methods and the corresponding data are based on the roadways assigned functional classification. The ODOT methods for forecasting future traffic volumes are a recognized traffic engineering standard.

Roadways that are not listed as having a functional classification can be assigned into one of two categories. The first category is a local roadway and the second category is that of an access drive.

The ODOT functional classification of the roadways in the study area can currently be found using the ODOT Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS). TIMS is ODOT's web-mapping portal where information about Ohio's transportation system can be found. TIMS can currently be found at the following web address:
https://gis.dot.state.oh.us/tims/

The following table lists the study area roadways that have an assigned functional classification as determined by ODOT and local government entities.

Table 2.2 Functional Classification

| ROADWAY | AREA | FC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Route 23 | Urban | 3 |
| CLASSIFICATION |  |  |
| State Route 752 | Urban | 5 |
| Principal Arterial |  |  |
| State Route 316 (West of US 23) | Urban | 5 |
| State Route 316 (East of US 23) | Urban | 5 |
| State Route 316 (Long Street) | Urban | 5 |
| Ashville Pike | Urban | 7 |
| Miller Avenue | Urban | 7 |
| County Road 28 | Major Collector |  |
| Rudi Lane | Urban | 7 |
| North Street | Urban | 7 |
| Northup Avenue | Urban | 7 |
| Local Roadway |  |  |
| Local Roadway |  |  |
| Local Roadway |  |  |
| Loar Roadway |  |  |

Figure 2.4, Appendix A illustrates the section of the functional classification map for the study area.

### 2.3 Traffic

## Weekday Peak Hours

Weekday nine hour turning movement counts were performed between Wednesday, February 16, 2022 and Thursday, March 3, 2022 at the following intersections:

1. US Route 23 \& State Route 752 (Thursday, 2/17/2022)
2. US Route 23 \& State Route 316/North Street (Wednesday, 3/2/2022)
3. US Route 23 \& Northup Avenue/State Route 316 (Tuesday, 3/1/2022)
4. State Route 752 \& Ashville Pike (Wednesday, 2/16/2022)
5. State Route 316 \& Miller Avenue/County Road 28 (Thursday, 3/3/2022)

The weekday traffic counts were conducted in fifteen (15) minute intervals between the hours of 7 AM 10 AM, 11 AM - 2 PM, and 3 PM - 6 PM, then hourly totals were calculated. Copies of the intersection turn movement counts are included in Appendix B. Average daily traffic was calculated for the roadways using expansion factors to account for daily and seasonal variations according to the recommendations and latest data from the Ohio Department of Transportation.

The AM and PM intersection peak hours are selected by reviewing data in 15-minute intervals. When there is more than one intersection within the study area, a consistent time period should be used for all intersections within the study area in order to develop an existing conditions traffic volume set, the system peak hour. The following questions should be considered when choosing the peak hours for a study area with multiple intersections:

■ What are the individual intersection peak hours?
■ Are the individual peak hours the same time or close to each other?
■ Would it result in significantly fewer vehicles to use a different peak hour for intersections that are not the same?

- What is the peak hour for intersections with the highest overall volume?

■ What peak hour contributes the highest volume to the entire system?

The use of summary tables for the entering traffic volumes during the AM and PM time periods are used to evaluate the previously discussed questions, to identify the peak hours for each intersection, and to determine the peak hour of the system.

The following tables detail a breakdown of the hourly volumes during the AM and PM hours that were determined to experience the highest traffic volumes.

Table 2.3 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
(Total Entering Volume - Vehicles per Hour)

|  | HOUR BEGINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{7 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 : 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 : 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 : 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 : 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 : 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 : 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 : 0 0}$ |  |
| US23 \& SR752 | $\mathbf{2 3 7 6}$ | 2276 | 2085 | 2018 | 1973 | 1936 | 1903 | 1826 | 1756 |  |
| US23 \& SR316 (N) | $\mathbf{2 5 2 7}$ | 2433 | 2278 | 2114 | 1983 | 1889 | 1843 | 1821 | 1821 |  |
| US23 \& SR 316 (S) | $\mathbf{2 5 1 3}$ | 2385 | 2340 | 2183 | 2126 | 2021 | 1887 | 1869 | 1806 |  |
| SR752 \& Ashville Pike | $\mathbf{8 7 4}$ | 781 | 560 | 497 | 507 | 510 | 507 | 469 | 432 |  |
| SR316 \& CR 28 | $\mathbf{3 6 7}$ | 331 | 337 | 340 | 337 | 327 | 314 | 289 | 294 |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 6 5 7}$ | 8206 | 7600 | 7152 | 6926 | 6683 | 6454 | 6274 | 6109 |  |

Table 2.4 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
(Total Entering Volume - Vehicles per Hour)

|  | HOUR BEGINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3:00 | 3:15 | 3:30 | 3:45 | 4:00 | 4:15 | 4:30 | 4:45 | 5:00 |
| US23 \& SR752 | 2492 | 2571 | 2597 | 2599 | 2584 | 2570 | 25941 | 2534 | 2467 |
| US23 \& SR316 (N) | 2662 | 2772 | 2884 | 2914 | 2954 | 2892 | 2876 | 2782 | 2684 |
| US23 \& SR 316 (S) | 2692 | 2822 | 2961 | 3026 | 2951 | 3018 | 2978 | 2968 | 2890 |
| SR752 \& Ashville Pike | 825 | 886 | 990 | 1072 | 1094 | 1083 | 1000 | 912 | 853 |
| SR316 \& CR 28 | 502 | 524 | 531 | 535 | 537 | 530 | 533 | 514 | 501 |
| TOTAL | 9173 | 9575 | 9963 | 10146 | 10120 | 10093 | 33328 | 9710 | 9395 |

Based on the collected traffic data, the peak hours for the study area were determined based on the AM and PM hour experiencing the highest total volume indicated in red in the previous tables. The weekday AM peak hour of traffic was determined to be 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM. The weekday PM peak hour of traffic was found to be $3: 45$ PM to 4:45: PM. These periods will be used to forecast expected and future traffic volumes since they reflect the period of the highest volume of vehicular traffic flow for the study area roadways on a weekday.

The existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 2.5, Appendix A.

It should be noted that it may be necessary to adjust these volumes due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. The ODOT guidance and procedures will be used to determine any necessary adjustments.

## Chapter 3

## Projected Traffic Conditions

### 3.1 Site Traffic

## Trip Generation

Calculating future total driveway trips requires an estimate of the traffic generated by the proposed development. The most widely accepted method of determining the amount of traffic that the proposed development will generate is to compare the proposed land use with existing facilities of the same use. The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) has prepared a manual titled "Trip Generation Manual" ${ }^{(8)}$, which is a compilation of similar traffic generation studies to aide in making such a comparison. The most recent update of this manual is the $11^{\mathrm{TH}}$ edition and was utilized for this study.

The ITE Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$ will be used in conjunction with available site specific data provided by DHL in order to forecast the expected development site generated traffic. Site generated traffic will be prepared for passenger vehicle (vehicle) type traffic and truck (truck) traffic.

The following table details a breakdown of the buildings that are expected to occupy the development site:

Table 3.1 Development Summary

| BUILDING \# | BUILDING LOCATION | OPENING YEAR | SIZE (Sq Ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | North of SR 752 | 2024 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 2$ | North of SR 752 | 2025 | 793,440 |
| $\# 3$ | North of SR 752 | 2026 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 4$ | South of SR 752 | 2027 | 572,460 |
| $\# 5$ | South of SR 752 | 2028 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 6$ | South of SR 752 | 2029 | $1,006,880$ |
| $\# 7$ | South of SR 752 | 2030 | 517,940 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 , 9 1 1 , 3 6 0}$ |

The developer provided an overview of the their North American facility operations. The overview showed that for buildings over 400,000 square feet that the $90 \%$ are operating 2 or 3 shift operations. The overview also provided a total headcount for each of the sectors that are served at the facilities. The sectors for the AM and PM peak hour vehicle traffic were determined to be the five highest. A copy of the facilities overview can be seen in Appendix C.

In order to determine the volume of expected site generated vehicle traffic a weighted average of the total headcount for the 5 largest sectors was calculated. It was assumed for the purpose of this report that one employee was equal to one trip in the peak hour due to the shift operations. The following table details the calculation of the site generated trip rate that will be used to forecast the volume of vehicle generated traffic by each building in the development:

Table 3.2 Vehicle Trip Rate Calculation

| SECTOR | HEADCOUNT <br> per 100,000 sf | WEIGHTED <br> AVERAGE | WEIGHTED <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive | 24 | $15.00 \%$ | 3.600 |
| Consumer | 20 | $12.50 \%$ | 2.500 |
| Retail | 51 | $31.88 \%$ | 16.256 |
| Technology | 45 | $28.13 \%$ | 12.656 |
| Life Science/Healthcare | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 1 3}$ |  |

The weighted average should provide a conservative estimate of future traffic as the sectors being served at each building are currently unknown.

A rate of 37.5125 trips per 100,000 square foot will be applied to each building in the development in order to determine the peak hour site generated trips based on the results shown above in Table 3.2.

The peak hour site generated trips will be split in to entering and exiting trips based on the peak hour directional distributions provided for land use \#156-High Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse from the ITE Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$.

The developer provided the expected facility truck volumes from the consumer and ecommerce sectors. These sectors were selected as they provide the highest peak hour volume of truck traffic at DHL facilities and should provide a conservative estimate of the expected truck volumes during the AM and PM peak hours. These truck volumes were applied to the each of the proposed buildings based on the square footage of each. A copy of the provided truck data can be seen in Appendix C.

Trip generation calculations for the development were performed utilizing the supplied site specific data for vehicle and truck trips as well as data contained in the Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{(8)}$ and the methods outlined in the (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook ${ }^{(9)}$. A spreadsheet detailing the vehicle trip generation calculations can be found in Appendix C. The following table details the site generated vehicle and truck traffic volumes for each building in the proposed development.

Table 3.3 New Trip Summary

| BUILDING | OPENING YEAR | SIZE | TRIP ENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | WeekdayBetweeENTER |  | Peak Hour 7-9 AM |  | Weekday Peak Hour <br> Between 4-6 PM |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | EXIT |  | ENTER |  | EXIT |  |
|  |  |  | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks | Vehicles | Trucks |
| 1 (North of SR 752) | 2024 | $\begin{gathered} 1,006,880 \\ \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 189 | 25 | 189 | 25 | 257 | 18 | 121 | 18 |
| 2 <br> (North of SR 752) | 2025 | $\begin{gathered} 793,440 \\ \text { SF } \end{gathered}$ | 149 | 23 | 149 | 22 | 202 | 18 | 95 | 18 |
| $3$ <br> (North of SR 752) | 2026 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,006,880 \\ \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 189 | 25 | 189 | 25 | 257 | 18 | 121 | 18 |
| $4$ <br> (South of SR 752) | 2027 | $\begin{gathered} 572,460 \\ \text { SF } \end{gathered}$ | 107 | 15 | 107 | 15 | 146 | 10 | 69 | 10 |
| 5 <br> (South of SR 752) | 2028 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,006,880 \\ \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 189 | 25 | 189 | 25 | 257 | 18 | 121 | 18 |
| 6 <br> (South of SR 752) | 2029 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,006,880 \\ \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 189 | 25 | 189 | 25 | 257 | 18 | 121 | 18 |
| $7$ <br> (South of SR 752) | 2030 | $\begin{gathered} 517,940 \\ \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 97 | 15 | 97 | 15 | 132 | 10 | 62 | 10 |
| TOTAL NEW TRIPS |  |  | 1109 | 153 | 1109 | 152 | 1508 | 110 | 710 | 110 |
|  |  |  | 1262 |  | 1261 |  | 1618 |  | 820 |  |
|  |  |  | 2523 |  |  |  | 2438 |  |  |  |

The ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$ requires that ten year design hour traffic volumes be analyzed for a proposed development when the number of generated trips is below 500 in the peak hour and twenty year design hour traffic volumes when the number of generated trips is greater than 500 in the peak hour.

The proposed development is expected to generate a total of 2,523 driveway trips in the AM peak hour and a total of 2,438 driveway trips in the PM peak hour. The year 2044 will therefore be analyzed for the twenty year design hour conditions.

## Distribution of New Site Generated Weekday Traffic

Separate directional distributions will be prepared for passenger vehicle (vehicle) type traffic and truck (truck) traffic.

The directional distribution for the new generated vehicle traffic is a function of the prevailing operating conditions on the existing roadways. The distribution pattern that was assumed is shown in the tables that follow and is based upon engineering judgement of the existing traffic volumes entering the study area at the five study area intersections during the AM and PM peak hours shown in Figure 2.5, Appendix A, the adjacent land uses, functional classification of the roadways, and routes to avoid known areas of congestion. The vehicle trips were assumed to be primary trips made by people leaving home for work and then returning home. The vehicle trips were therefore assumed to enter and exit the study using the same route.

The following tables detail the distribution of the new generated vehicle trips for the proposed development under the opening and design year conditions.

Table 3.4 AM New Trip Origins and Destinations
2024 Opening Year

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 38 | $20 \%$ | 38 |
| South | US 23 | $30 \%$ | 56 | $30 \%$ | 56 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 38 | $20 \%$ | 38 |
| West | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 19 | $10 \%$ | 19 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $10 \%$ | 19 | $10 \%$ | 19 |
| East | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 19 | $10 \%$ | 19 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ |

Table 3.5 PM New Trip Origins and Destinations
2024 Opening Year

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 51 | $20 \%$ | 24 |
| South | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 52 | $20 \%$ | 25 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 51 | $20 \%$ | 24 |
| West | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 26 | $10 \%$ | 12 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $20 \%$ | 51 | $20 \%$ | 24 |
| East | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 26 | $10 \%$ | 12 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |

Table 3.6 AM New Trip Origins and Destinations
2030/2044 Build/Design Year

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 222 | $20 \%$ | 222 |
| South | US 23 | $30 \%$ | 333 | $30 \%$ | 332 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 221 | $20 \%$ | 222 |
| West | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 111 | $10 \%$ | 111 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $10 \%$ | 111 | $10 \%$ | 111 |
| East | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 111 | $10 \%$ | 111 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 9}$ |

Table 3.7 PM New Trip Origins and Destinations 2030/2044 Build/Design Year

| ORIGIN/ <br> DESTINATION | ROUTE | ENTER <br> \% TOTAL | ENTER <br> NEW TRIPS | EXIT <br> \% TOTAL | EXIT <br> NEW TRIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 302 | $20 \%$ | 142 |
| South | US 23 | $20 \%$ | 302 | $20 \%$ | 142 |
| East | SR 752 | $20 \%$ | 301 | $20 \%$ | 142 |
| West | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 151 | $10 \%$ | 71 |
| North | Ashville Pike | $20 \%$ | 301 | $20 \%$ | 142 |
| East | SR 316 | $10 \%$ | 151 | $10 \%$ | 71 |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ |

All truck traffic will enter and exit the development at the proposed intersection along State Route 752. Trucks will be prohibited from using the State Route 316 access location and exiting the site to the east along State Route 752 through the use of way finding signs both on-site and off-site.

The distribution of the truck traffic was based on the all trucks using US Route 23 to travel north or south. The trucks were split with $60 \%$ originating from and destined to the north. The remaining $40 \%$ were assumed to enter from or exit to the south. The distribution was based on the existing volume patterns on US Route 23, the location of Rickenbacker International Airport, and the location of the facility to the south of the greater Columbus area.

## Distribution of Site Generated Traffic - 2024 Opening Year

The directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated vehicle traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.1, Appendix A for the opening year conditions.

The directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated truck traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.2, Appendix A for the opening year conditions.

## Distribution of Site Generated Traffic - 2030/2044 Design Year

The distribution of new site generated vehicle traffic for the portion of the development north of State Route 752 was based on the following assumptions:

- Entering traffic would take the most direct route available to either the SR 752 or SR 316 access. It was assumed that traffic would use the on-site connector road to avoid the section of US 23 between SR 752 and SR 316.
- Exiting traffic would take the most direct route exit the study area using either the SR 752 or SR 316 access. It was assumed that traffic would use the on-site connector road to avoid the section of US 23 between SR 752 and SR 316.

The build and design year conditions directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated vehicle traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.3, Appendix $A$ for the portion of the development located to the north of State Route 752.

The design year conditions directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated truck traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.4, Appendix A for the portion of the development located to the north of State Route 752.

The distribution of new site generated vehicle traffic for the portion of the development south of State Route 752 was based on the following assumptions:

- Entering traffic would take the most direct route available to either the SR 752 or SR 316 access. It was assumed that traffic would use the on-site connector road to avoid the section of US 23 between SR 752 and SR 316.
- Exiting traffic would take the most direct route exit the study area using either the SR 752 or SR 316 access. It was assumed that traffic would use the on-site connector road to avoid the section of US 23 between SR 752 and SR 316.

The design year conditions directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated vehicle traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.5, Appendix A for the portion of the development located to the south of State Route 752.

The design year conditions directional distribution for the new AM and PM peak hour generated truck traffic is shown graphically in Figure 3.6, Appendix A for the portion of the development located to the south of State Route 752.

## Assignment of Site Generated Traffic - 2024 Opening Year

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.1, the new AM and PM peak site generated vehicle traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated site generated new vehicle traffic for the proposed development under the opening year conditions is shown graphically in Figure 3.7, Appendix A.

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.2 , the new AM and PM peak site generated truck traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated site generated new truck traffic for the proposed development under the opening year conditions is shown graphically in Figure 3.8, Appendix A.

## Assignment of Site Generated Traffic - 2030/2044 Design Year

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.3, the new AM and PM peak site generated vehicle traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated design year site generated new vehicle traffic for the portion of the development north of State Route 752 is shown graphically in Figure 3.9, Appendix A.

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.4, the new AM and PM peak site generated truck traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated design year site generated new truck traffic for the portion of the development north of State Route 752 is shown graphically in Figure 3.10, Appendix A.

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.5, the new AM and PM peak site generated vehicle traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated design year site generated new vehicle traffic for the portion of the development south of State Route 752 is shown graphically in Figure 3.11, Appendix A.

Based upon the distribution patterns shown in Figure 3.6, the new AM and PM peak site generated truck traffic was assigned to the study intersections. The assignment of the estimated design year site generated new truck traffic for the portion of the development south of State Route 752 is shown graphically in Figure 3.12, Appendix A.

### 3.2 Adjusted Traffic

The collected peak hour traffic volumes detailed in Appendix B and Figure 2.5, Appendix A should be reviewed to determine if they have been impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The traffic volumes as they were collected may not be representative of a typical weekday under normal travel patterns and show less volume. The ODOT Modeling and Forecasting Section of the Office of Statewide Planning and Research has developed a process to calibrate counts that are artificially low due to the COVID-19 situation. An overview of the ODOT guidance and process can be seen in Appendix D. The development of calibration factors for the study area roadways is described in the following paragraphs.

The ODOT Traffic Monitoring Management System (TMMS) was first consulted to determine available Peak Hour and Average Daily Traffic along the study area roadways. The ODOT guidance indicates that only counts prior to March 15, 2020 are suitable for use in the calculation of adjustment factors.

Data from the following location will be used to determine if the collected data should be adjusted to account for the COVID-19 pandemic.

## 1. US Route 23 (North of SR 752) - Location ID 2765

Location 2765 is a continuous count station that provides daily historical traffic volumes. The location listed provides both ADT and hourly traffic data in 15 minute increments.

The corresponding peak hour data from this location will be used to determine if calibration factors are necessary for the AM and PM peak hours at the study area intersections. The traffic count data collected for this report was collected on February 20, 2022. This was the third Thursday in February. The ODOT historical ADT data from Thursday, February 20, 2022 will be compared to the Thursday, February 17, 2020 data Copies of the 2020 and 2022 historical data can be seen in Appendix E.

A calculated factor greater than 1.0 indicates that the 2022 volumes do not exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

A calculated factor of less than 1.0 indicates that the 2022 volumes exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is not necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The following table details the calculation of peak hour COVID adjustment factors for the study area roadways using the peak hour traffic volumes from the collected traffic data for this report and the 2019 historical data from the ODOT TMMS website:

Table 3.8 - COVID Adjustment Factor

| LOCATION | TIME <br> PERIOD | 2020 <br> PRE-COVID | 2022 <br> CURRENT | ADJUSTMENT <br> FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Route 23 (North of SR 72) <br> ID 2765 | ADT | 28692 | 29633 | 0.9682 |

A COVID adjustment factor of 0.9682 indicates that the 2022 volumes exceed the 2020 historical data, therefore a calibration factor is not necessary to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the collected traffic data.

### 3.3 Non-Site Traffic

## Background Traffic Growth

Design of new roadways or improvements to existing roadways should not usually be based on current traffic volumes alone, but should consider future traffic volumes expected to make use of the facilities. Roadways should be designed to accommodate the traffic volume that is likely to occur within the design life of the facility. In a practical sense, this design volume should be a value that can be estimated with reasonable accuracy. It is believed that the maximum design period is in the range of 15 to 24 years. Therefore, a period of twenty years is widely used as a basis for design for large projects. A period of ten years is currently specified by the Ohio Department of Transportation for smaller projects. Traffic cannot usually be forecasted accurately beyond this period on a specific facility because of probable changes in the general regional economy, population, and land development along the roadway.

The ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$ requires that opening year and ten year design hour traffic volumes be analyzed for a proposed development when the number of generated trips is less than 500 in the peak hour.

The year 2044 (Design Year) will be analyzed for the proposed development as the peak hour site generated traffic volumes are greater than 500 trips. Therefore, it is necessary to estimate historical growth rates in order to establish the future traffic on the study area roadways due to non-site related conditions.

Roadways, like those found in the study area, carry a significant amount of through traffic due to their functional characteristics. This through traffic component generally increases as regional growth occurs. Therefore, it is anticipated that existing traffic on these roadways may increase in future years.

The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commision (MORPC) was contacted in order to determine appropriate growth rates for the study area roadways. MORPC provided linear annual growth rates for the approaches at the study area intersections. A copy of the email correspondence regarding growth rates for the study area can be seen in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$.

The growth rate and factors for they study area can be seen in the following table:

Table 3.9-Growth Rate \& Factors

| APPROACH/LOCATION | GROWTH RATE (Annual Growth) | GROWTH FACTORS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2024 | 2030 | 2044 |
| SR 752 East @ US 23 | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| US 23 North @ SR 752 | 0.90\% | 1.018 | 1.072 | 1.198 |
| US 23 South @ SR 752 | 0.90\% | 1.018 | 1.072 | 1.198 |
| US 23 North @ SR 316 | 0.90\% | 1.018 | 1.072 | 1.198 |
| SR 316 West @ US 23 | 1.60\% | 1.032 | 1.128 | 1.352 |
| US 23 South @ SR 316 | 0.90\% | 1.018 | 1.072 | 1.198 |
| SR 316 East @ US 23 | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| US 23 North @ SR 316 | 1.00\% | 1.020 | 1.080 | 1.220 |
| Northup West @ US 23 | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| US 23 South @ SR 316 | 0.90\% | 1.018 | 1.072 | 1.198 |
| SR 752 East @ Ashville Pike | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| Ashville Pike North @ SR 752 | 2.20\% | 1.044 | 1.176 | 1.484 |
| SR 752 West @ Ashville Pike | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| Long South @ SR 752 | 2.20\% | 1.044 | 1.176 | 1.484 |
| SR 316 East @ CR 28 | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |
| SR 316 West @ CR 28 | 2.00\% | 1.040 | 1.160 | 1.440 |

The study area intersection approaches that did not have a growth rate supplied by MORPC will not have a growth factor applied to the existing traffic volumes.

## Design Hour Traffic

The traffic patterns on any roadway typically show considerable variation in the traffic volumes experienced during the various hours of the day and in the hourly volumes experienced throughout the year. A key decision in the design process involves determining which of these hourly traffic volumes should be used as the basis for the design.

It would be wasteful to predicate a design on the maximum peak hour traffic that occurs during the year and the use of the average hourly traffic would result in an inadequate design. The hourly traffic volumes used in a design should not be exceeded very often or by very much. However, the hourly traffic volumes should not be so high that traffic would rarely be sufficient to make full use of the designed facility.

Normal design policy in the State of Ohio is based upon a review of curves that depict the variation in hourly traffic volumes during the year. The Ohio Department of Transportation recommends using the $30^{\mathrm{TH}}$ highest hour as a design control for urban streets. There is typically very little difference between the volumes in this range. The Ohio Department of Transportation provides factors or a methodology to determine factors that are applied to counted daily traffic volumes to determine appropriate design hour traffic volumes.

Following guidelines set forth in the ODOT State Highway Access Management Manual ${ }^{(7)}$, all analyses are required to examine the design hour volume for the adjacent roadway and peak hour traffic volume of the proposed development. The Ohio Traffic Forecasting Manual ${ }^{(4,5)}$ will be used to determined peak hour factors for the study area roadways.

The design hour volumes are determined by multiplying the AM and PM peak hour volumes by the appropriate factors from the ODOT Peak Hour to Design Hour Factor Report based on the functional classification of the roadway, the day of the week and the month that the traffic data was collected. A copy of the ODOT's Peak Hour to Design Hour Factor Report can be seen in Appendix I.

The following table details the peak hour to design hour factors for the study area roadways.

Table 3.10 - Peak Hour to Design Hour Factors

| ROADWAY | AREA | FUNCTIONAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| CLASSIFICATION | MONTH | DAY | DHV <br> FACTOR |  |  |
| US 23 @ SR 752 | Urban | Principal Arterial | February | Thursday | 1.16 |
| SR 752 @ US 23 | Urban | Major Collector | February | Thursday | 1.16 |
| US 23 @ SR 316 | Urban | Principal Arterial | March | Wednesday | 1.16 |
| SR 316 @ US 23 | Urban | Major Collector | March | Wednesday | 1.16 |
| North Street | Urban | Local Roadway | March | Wednesday | 1.16 |
| US 23 @ SR 316 | Urban | Principal Arterial | March | Tuesday | 1.16 |
| US 316 @ US 23 | Urban | Major Collector | March | Tuesday | 1.16 |
| Northup Avenue | Urban | Local Roadway | March | Tuesday | 1.16 |
| SR 752 @ Ashville Pike | Urban | Major Collector | February | Wednesday | 1.17 |
| Long Street (SR 316) | Urban | Major Collector | February | Wednesday | 1.17 |
| Ashville Pike | Urban | Local Roadway | February | Wednesday | 1.17 |
| SR 316 @ CR 28 | Urban | Major Collector | March | Thursday | 1.13 |
| Miller Avenue | Urban | Local Roadway | March | Thursday | 1.13 |
| CR 28 | Urban | Local Roadway | March | Thursday | 1.13 |

## Intersection Peak Hour Factors

The intersection peak hour factor (PHF) is used to convert the hourly traffic volume into the flow rate that represents the busiest 15 minutes of the peak hour. The PHF is the sum of the traffic entering the intersection during the peak hour divided by four times the highest 15 minute volume during the peak hour. A PHF of 1 indicates that the traffic volume in each 15 minute volume is the same and therefore traffic flow is consistent throughout the hour. A lower PHF indicates a more variable traffic flow and that traffic volume has a spike during the peak 15 minute interval. PHF's under 0.80 occur in locations with highly peaked demand, such as at schools and factories during shift changes.

The ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation Manual ${ }^{(6)}$ provides guidance to use the existing year PHF for all intersections from traffic counts collected for the project. The PHF is calculated for the intersection as a whole and not individual approaches or movements. A minimum of 0.80 for the PHF is required to be utilized unless justified by highly peaked demands such as for schools and factories noted above. If project specific counts are not available, a default value of 0.92 is to be utilized for arterials.

It is assumed for this report that the PHF for the opening and design years are the same as the calculated PHF from the collected existing year traffic counts. The intersection PHF's are included in Appendix B. The following table shows the PHF's calculated for the study area intersections during the AM and PM peak hours:

Table 3.11 - Intersection Peak Hour Factors

| ROADWAY/INTERSECTION | AM PHF | PM PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 23 \& SR 752 | 0.888 | 0.976 |
| US 23 \& SR 316/North Street | 0.956 | 0.970 |
| US 23 \& SR 316/Northup Avenue | 0.952 | 0.946 |
| SR 752 \& Ashville Pike | $0.646^{*}$ | 0.882 |
| SR 316 \& CR 28/Miller Avenue | 0.812 | 0.942 |

* A minimum PHF of 0.80 will be used.

The peak hour factors detailed in Table 3.11 will be used in the intersection capacity calculations for the Traffic Impact Study.

## Additional Study Area Development - Sheetz

A Sheetz development is currently under construction at the intersection of US Route 23 and State Route 752. The Sheetz development was analyzed in a Traffic Impact Study dated March 17, 2021. The TIS was reviewed and approved by ODOT.

A copy of the traffic volume figures from the pages 9 and 10 of the Sheetz TIS that will be added to the background traffic volumes can be seen in Appendix F. The Sheetz TIS did not account for the distribution of the site generated traffic to the adjacent intersections that under study for this report.

Figure 3.13, Appendix A details the total site generated Sheetz traffic for the study area of this report and their distribution to the adjacent intersections under study. The volumes were based on Pages 9 and 10 of the March 17, 2021 Sheetz TIS. This traffic will be included in the 2024, 2030, and 2044 analysis for this report.

## Additional Study Area Development - US Route 23 \& SR-316 Development

A Traffic Impact Study is currently being performed for a proposed mixed-used development at the southeast quadrant of the US Route 23 and State Route 316/Northup Avenue intersection. The development is expected to consist of retail space, commercial out lot parcels, multi-family units, duplex units, and single-family lots.

A copy of the traffic volume figures from the TIS that were added to the No-Build background traffic volumes can be seen in Appendix G. The TIS did not account for the distribution of the site generated traffic to the adjacent intersections that under study for this report.

Figure 3.14, Appendix A details the new site generated traffic for the proposed opening year of 2022 for the mixed-used development. The volumes are based on those shown in Exhibit 5 of the July 2021 TIS. This traffic will be included in the 2024 analysis for this report.

Figure 3.15, Appendix A details the new site generated traffic for the proposed design year of 2042 for the mixed-used development. The volumes are based on those shown in Exhibit 9 of the July 2021 TIS. This traffic will be included in the 2030 and 2044 analysis for this report.

### 3.4 Future Traffic

## No-Build Conditions w/out Sheetz \& US 23/SR 316 Development

The previously discussed calculation of design hour factors and growth rates for each movement were applied to the existing 2022 traffic volumes shown in Figure 2.5, Appendix A in order to estimate the future traffic considering non-project traffic conditions without the development of the Sheetz or the proposed mixed-use development.

Spreadsheets detailing the use of the calculated growth rates and the design hour factors and the resulting expected 2024, 2030, and 2044 No-Build traffic volumes can be found in Appendix J. The NoBuild traffic volumes detailed in Appendix J do not include the site generated traffic volumes from the Sheetz or the US 23/SR316 mixed-use development

Balancing traffic volumes is a process by which the differences between traffic volume data at adjacent traffic count locations is eliminated. The traffic volumes along US Route 23 were not "balanced" for the purpose of this report due to the number of driveways, intersections, and commercial retail businesses between the three US Route 23 count locations.

This traffic is the expected traffic if the proposed additional developments and the DHL facility are not constructed, a "No-Buildw/out Additional Developments" condition. The estimated 2024, 2030, and 2044 No-Build w/out Additional Developments traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figures 3.16-3.18, Appendix A.

The No-Build w/out Additional Developments traffic volumes have been rounded to the nearest 10 to adhere to preferred ODOT practices.

## No-Build Conditions w/ Sheetz \& US 23/SR 316 Development

In order to estimate the 2024 opening year No-Build traffic considering the background traffic and the additional developments in the study area, the sum of the 2024 No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.16, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.13 \& 3.14). These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the additional developments in the study area are constructed and the proposed DHL development is not constructed, or a "No-Build with Additional Development" condition.

The estimated 2024 opening year No-Build with Additional Development traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.19, Appendix A for the study area.

In order to estimate the 2030 No-Build traffic considering the background traffic and the additional developments in the study area, the sum of the 2030 No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.17, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.13 \& 3.15). These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the additional developments in the study area are constructed and the proposed DHL development is not constructed, or a "No-Build with Additional Development" condition.

The estimated 2030 No-Build with Additional Development traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.20, Appendix A for the study area.

In order to estimate the 2044 design year No-Build traffic considering the background traffic and the additional developments in the study area, the sum of the 2044 No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.18, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.13 \& 3.15). These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the additional developments in the study area are constructed and the proposed DHL development is not constructed, or a "No-Build with Additional Development" condition.

The estimated 2044 design year No-Build with Additional Development traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.21, Appendix A for the study area.

## Project Build Conditions

In order to estimate the future opening year traffic considering project traffic conditions, the sum of the 2024 No-Build with Additional Development volumes, shown in Figure 3.19, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.7 \& 3.8) to equal the future 2024 Build peak hour volumes.

The estimated 2024 Build traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.22, Appendix A for the proposed development. These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the proposed development is constructed, or a "Build" condition. These conditions represent the expected opening year conditions with the construction of Building \#1.

In order to estimate the build year traffic considering project traffic conditions, the sum of the 2030 NoBuild with Additional Development volumes, shown in Figure 3.20, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.9-3.12) to equal the future 2030 Build peak hour volumes.

The estimated 2030 Build traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.23, Appendix A for the proposed development. These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the proposed development is constructed, or a "Build" condition. These conditions represent the expected build year conditions with the construction of all seven buildings

In order to estimate the future design year traffic considering project traffic conditions, the sum of the 2044 with Additional Development No-Build volumes, shown in Figure 3.21, Appendix A, were added to the new generated traffic (Figures 3.9-3.12) to equal the future 2044 Build peak hour volumes.

The estimated 2044 Build traffic volumes for the study area are shown graphically in Figure 3.24, Appendix A for the proposed development. These traffic volumes are the expected volumes if the proposed development is constructed, or a "Build" condition. These conditions represent the expected design year conditions with the construction of all seven buildings.

## Appendix A

## Traffic Volume Figures

































## Appendix B <br> Collected Traffic Count Data

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
Transportation Manangement Services
File Name : TC 1 SR 752 and USR 23021722 DJS
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 2 / 17 / 2022$
Page No $: 1$

|  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23)From North |  |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  |  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23) From South |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR } 752 \\ & \text { From West } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 185 | 28 | 0 | 213 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 47 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 199 | 26 | 0 | 225 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 669 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 178 | 14 | 0 | 192 | 25 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 44 | 33 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 194 | 13 | 0 | 207 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 34 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 |
| Total | 0 | 756 | 81 | 0 | 837 | 65 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 127 | 185 | 1227 | 0 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2376 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 175 | 17 | 0 | 192 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 492 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 174 | 8 | 0 | 182 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 188 | 13 | 0 | 201 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 32 | 22 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 185 | 7 | 0 | 192 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 31 | 22 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| Total | 0 | 722 | 45 | 0 | 767 | 58 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 127 | 81 | 998 | 0 | 0 | 1079 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1973 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 183 | 13 | 0 | 196 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 188 | 7 | 0 | 195 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 205 | 13 | 0 | 218 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 27 | 10 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 191 | 5 | 0 | 196 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 |
| Total | 0 | 767 | 38 | 0 | 805 | 39 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 88 | 54 | 810 | 0 | 0 | 864 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1757 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mathrm{lel}_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd ¢t：zo |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd 0ع：z0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd gl：zo |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd 00：Z0 |
| ¢981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 о8 | 0 | 0 | ¢GL | GL | てかっ | 0 | 98 | 0 | $\angle 9$ | $\varepsilon 88$ | 0 | 92 | L98 | 0 | 1 PlO |
| †くt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | LOZ | 0 | 0 | ¢81 | £乙 | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | 92 | 0 | 21 | 622 | 0 | 9 | \＆ટ乙 | 0 | Wd St：to |
| 1くt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 961 | 0 | 0 | †く1 | 12 | \＆ | 0 | $\angle 1$ | 0 | 91 | £って | 0 | 8 | ¢¢乙 | 0 | Wd 08：10 |
| 6Lt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ટ¢乙 | 0 | 0 | 812 | ＋1 | $\angle 乙$ | 0 | \＆ | 0 | カ1 | Ozz | 0 | G | Stz | 0 | Wd sl：to |
| เย | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 961 | 0 | 0 | 621 | $\angle 1$ | tr | 0 | 62 | 0 | ¢ı | 161 | 0 | L | ¢81 | 0 | Wd 00： 10 |
| 9661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 896 | 0 | 0 | 988 | \＆8 | 6 St | 0 | 801 | 0 | 99 | 698 | 0 | てt | L८8 | 0 | Petol |
| GLL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 802 | 0 | 0 | 181 | $\angle 乙$ | け | 0 | 62 | 0 | 21 | 9 92 | 0 | H | GıL | 0 | Wd St： |
| 8LD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 812 | 0 | 0 | 861 | 02 | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 0 | St | ટ乙乙 | 0 | 8 | カเて | 0 | Wd 08： 21 |
| ＜8ヶ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ャ¢乙 | 0 | 0 | 812 | 91 | $6 \varepsilon$ | 0 | 92 | 0 | $\varepsilon \vdash$ | カ12 | 0 | カ1 | 002 | 0 | Wd st： 21 |
| 9¢¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $80 \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 882 | 02 | เ | 0 | ¢z | 0 | 91 | LOZ | 0 | 6 | 86 | 0 | Wd 00：z1 |
| 0091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $9<9$ | 0 | 0 | \＆ 19 | ع9 | 68 เ | 0 | ¢9 | 0 | ャL | 982 | 0 | $9 \varepsilon$ | $67 \angle$ | 0 | letol |
| 90t | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ¢61 | 0 | 0 | 0＜1 | ¢ | け | 0 | 91 | 0 | ¢z | 0＜1 | 0 | $\dagger$ | 991 | 0 |  |
| 01t | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | s91 | 0 | 0 | 9¢1 | 6 | 92 | 0 | 61 | 0 | $\llcorner$ | 612 | 0 | 21 | $\angle 02$ | 0 |  |
| 6เレ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ¢81 | 0 | 0 | 691 | St | Lع | 0 | St | 0 | ¿乙 | 861 | 0 | H | L81 | 0 | W ¢ ¢1：H |
| ¢9¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 乙¢ | 0 | 0 | 815 | カt | ¢ | 0 | ¢ı | 0 | 02 | 86 | 0 | 6 | 681 | 0 | W＊00：1t |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Petol |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | W＊¢t：01 |
|  | $18,0 \pm$ ddy | spad | मәา | nıu1 | 146！！ | 12,01 ddy | spad | Нəา | nuyl | 146！ | 12,01 ddy | spad | Нəา | n．41 | 146！ | ［120］－ddy | spad | मəา | n． 41 | 146！！ | 2W！ 1 れ上IS |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {IM M }}$ | ys |  | $\text { ( } \varepsilon \text { c sn }$ | $\text { (1) } 1 \exists \exists y$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { los wo } \\ & \text { IS } 10 \mathrm{l} \end{aligned}$ | H | nos |  |  | eョ mo |  |  | $\text { ( } \varepsilon \text { ऽ Sn) }$ | $\text { 1) } 1 \exists \exists$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on wo } \\ & \text { is } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\nabla \mathrm{MH}$ | nos |  |
|  | LZO E | US | pue | ट | 0Z／L | 乙： | N O | d |  | צonı | O－pzp | $l_{\text {l }}$ d sd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | File Name : TC 1 SR 752 and USR 23021722 DJS

Site Code :00000000
Start Date : $2 / 17 / 2022$
Page No : 3
Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Buses
SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23)

|  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23) From North |  |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  |  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23) From South |  |  |  |  | SR 752 <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 268 | 12 | 0 | 280 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 48 | 25 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 558 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 347 | 12 | 0 | 359 | 13 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 48 | 13 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 621 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 362 | 22 | 0 | 384 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 47 | 17 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 665 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 385 | 23 | 0 | 408 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 32 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 649 |
| Total | 0 | 1362 | 69 | 0 | 1431 | 62 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 183 | 87 | 792 | 0 | 0 | 879 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2493 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 305 | 19 | 0 | 324 | 14 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 41 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 637 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 320 | 27 | 0 | 347 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 50 | 28 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 647 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 328 | 26 | 0 | 354 | 20 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 57 | 41 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 361 | 16 | 0 | 377 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 36 | 31 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634 |
| Total | 0 | 1314 | 88 | 0 | 1402 | 67 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 194 | 141 | 847 | 0 | 0 | 988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2584 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 338 | 17 | 0 | 355 | 19 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 58 | 14 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 623 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 364 | 12 | 0 | 376 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 46 | 28 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 671 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 321 | 24 | 0 | 345 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 42 | 31 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 314 | 17 | 0 | 331 | 12 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 39 | 25 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 567 |
| Total | 0 | 1337 | 70 | 0 | 1407 | 64 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 185 | 98 | 777 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2467 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 8691 | 495 | 0 | 9186 | 542 | 0 | 800 | 2 | 1344 | 867 | 7704 | 0 | 0 | 8571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19101 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 94.6 | 5.4 | 0 |  | 40.3 | 0 | 59.5 | 0.1 |  | 10.1 | 89.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 45.5 | 2.6 | 0 | 48.1 | 2.8 | 0 | 4.2 | 0 | 7 | 4.5 | 40.3 | 0 | 0 | 44.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 0 | 7273 | 447 | 0 | 7720 | 500 | 0 | 754 | 2 | 1256 | 810 | 6319 | 0 | 0 | 7129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16105 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 83.7 | 90.3 | 0 | 84 | 92.3 | 0 | 94.2 | 100 | 93.5 | 93.4 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 83.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84.3 |
| Trucks | 0 | 1408 | 41 | 0 | 1449 | 36 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 74 | 35 | 1377 | 0 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2935 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 16.2 | 8.3 | 0 | 15.8 | 6.6 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 5.5 | 4 | 17.9 | 0 | 0 | 16.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.4 |
| Buses | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |


File Name : TC 1 SR 752 and USR 23021722 DJS Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 2 / 17 / 2022$
Page No $: 4$

| Peak Hour for |  | cti | gins | 07:0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 185 | 28 | 0 | 213 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 47 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 199 | 26 | 0 | 225 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 669 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 178 | 14 | 0 | 192 | 25 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 44 | 33 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 194 | 13 | 0 | 207 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 34 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 756 | 81 | 0 | 837 | 65 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 127 | 185 | 1227 | 0 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2376 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 0 |  | 51.2 | 0 | 48.8 | 0 |  | 13.1 | 86.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 950 | . 723 | . 000 | . 930 | . 650 | . 000 | . 816 | . 000 | . 722 | . 651 | . 892 | . 000 | . 000 | . 851 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 888 |
| Cars | 0 | 617 | 76 | 0 | 693 | 60 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 119 | 181 | 1095 | 0 | 0 | 1276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2088 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 81.6 | 93.8 | 0 | 82.8 | 92.3 | 0 | 95.2 | 0 | 93.7 | 97.8 | 89.2 | 0 | 0 | 90.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.9 |
| Trucks | 0 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 139 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 18.3 | 1.2 | 0 | 16.6 | 3.1 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 10.7 | 0 | 0 | 9.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.6 |
| Buses | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |


| 03:45 PM | 0 | 385 | 23 | 0 | 408 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 32 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 649 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 305 | 19 | 0 | 324 | 14 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 41 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 637 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 320 | 27 | 0 | 347 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 50 | 28 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 647 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 328 | 26 | 0 | 354 | 20 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 57 | 41 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1338 | 95 | 0 | 1433 | 66 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 198 | 142 | 826 | 0 | 0 | 968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2599 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 0 |  | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 | 0 |  | 14.7 | 85.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 869 | . 880 | . 000 | . 878 | . 825 | . 000 | . 892 | . 000 | . 868 | . 866 | . 930 | . 000 | . 000 | . 924 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 976 |
| Cars | 0 | 1201 | 91 | 0 | 1292 | 62 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 190 | 128 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 840 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2322 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 89.8 | 95.8 | 0 | 90.2 | 93.9 | 0 | 97.0 | 0 | 96.0 | 90.1 | 86.2 | 0 | 0 | 86.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89.3 |
| Trucks | 0 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 137 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 10.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 0 | 3.0 | 0 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.2 |
| Buses | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

File Name :TC 5 USR 23 and North St 030222 DJS
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 3 / 2 / 2022$
Page No $: 1$

 File Name : TC 5 USR 23 and North St 030222 DJS Site
Sta
Pag



| Peak Hour Analysis From 03:45 PM to 04:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:45 PM | 24 | 387 | 4 | 0 | 415 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 192 | 10 | 0 | 204 | 24 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 55 | 685 |
| 04:00 PM | 22 | 422 | 6 | 0 | 450 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 225 | 3 | 0 | 229 | 22 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 59 | 751 |
| 04:15 PM | 24 | 371 | 2 | 0 | 397 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 243 | 8 | 0 | 255 | 28 | 8 | 35 | 0 | 71 | 735 |
| 04:30 PM | 29 | 408 | 5 | 0 | 442 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 218 | 10 | 0 | 231 | 20 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 52 | 744 |
| Total Volume | 99 | 1588 | 17 | 0 | 1704 | 16 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 878 | 31 | 0 | 919 | 94 | 40 | 102 | 1 | 237 | 2915 |
| \% App. Total | 5.8 | 93.2 | 1 | 0 |  | 29.1 | 50.9 | 20 | 0 |  | 1.1 | 95.5 | 3.4 | 0 |  | 39.7 | 16.9 | 43 | 0.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 853 | . 941 | . 708 | . 000 | . 947 | . 800 | . 636 | . 550 | . 000 | . 724 | . 625 | . 903 | . 775 | . 000 | . 901 | . 839 | . 769 | . 729 | . 250 | . 835 | . 970 |
| Cars | 93 | 1411 | 16 | 0 | 1520 | 15 | 27 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 10 | 735 | 26 | 0 | 771 | 89 | 38 | 98 | 1 | 226 | 2569 |
| \% Cars | 93.9 | 88.9 | 94.1 | 0 | 89.2 | 93.8 | 96.4 | 90.9 | 0 | 94.5 | 100 | 83.7 | 83.9 | 0 | 83.9 | 94.7 | 95.0 | 96.1 | 100 | 95.4 | 88.1 |
| Trucks | 6 | 174 | 1 | 0 | 181 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 141 | 5 | 0 | 146 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 333 |
| \% Trucks | 6.1 | 11.0 | 5.9 | 0 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 0 | 15.9 | 4.3 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 2.1 | 11.4 |
| Buses | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 3.6 | 9.1 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.4 |

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

MMS Enginees, Inc
2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
Transportation Manangement Services

[^0]

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ｜ełol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd st：zo |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd 0¢：z0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd sl：z0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wd 00：乙0 |
| †002 | $1 \angle 1$ | 0 | Ot | 89 | $\varepsilon 9$ | 908 | 0 | 切 | ちてL | $\angle \varepsilon$ | 161 | 0 | 69 | ャG | 8L | Lع8 | 0 | $\angle 9$ | でく | 82 | ¢¢¢O」 |
| 乙て¢ | \＆G | 0 | SI | 七乙 | カレ | 861 | 0 | $\varepsilon 1$ | G $\angle 1$ | O1． | IS | 0 | SI | $\varepsilon 1$ | £乙 | 0乙乙 | 0 | て1 | カ02 | † | Wd St： 10 |
| ¢St | ト | 0 | ト1 | St | St | 961 | 0 | G | S81 | 9 | ト | 0 | て1 | 1 1 | 81 | LLL | 0 | $L$ | 291． | 8 | Wd 0¢： 10 |
| เ๕¢ | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | $L$ | St | 91 | カレて | 0 | $1+$ | 261 | 1， | St | 0 | 61 | て1 | カト | †¢乙 | 0 | 61 | SOZ | O1 | Wd Sl：10 |
| 96ヵ | $6 \varepsilon$ | 0 | $L$ | カ1 | 81 | L61 | 0 | St | ZL1 | O1． | $\dagger$ ¢ | 0 | $\varepsilon 1$ | 81 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 902 | 0 | 62 | 1L1 | 9 | Wd 00： 10 |
| 2912 | عเ乙 | 0 | ¢G | †01 | †G | 182 | 0 | $1 \varepsilon$ | 602 | 17 | 862 | 0 | 9 g | ¢91． | LL | $0 \angle 8$ | 0 | ャ6 | $\angle Z L$ | 67 | ¢セłOL |
| LOG | †9 | 0 | $\angle 1$ | $8 乙$ | 61 | 161 | 0 | 8 | 9＜1 | $L$ | 6t | 0 | O1 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 91 | E0乙 | 0 | 61 | $6 \angle 1$ | G | Wd st：$\downarrow 1$ |
| ZLS | 99 | 0 | \＆1 | $\varepsilon t$ | O1 | 802 | 0 | 9 | 061 | て1 | 89 | 0 | 61 | 81 | 12 | 0ヶて | 0 | $0 \varepsilon$ | 861 | 21 | Wd 0¢：で |
| 689 | St | 0 | ト1 | $\angle 1$ | $\angle 1$ | 902 | 0 | O1． | ع8। | $\varepsilon 1$ | LIL | 0 | 8 | S6 | カト | เ乙乙 | 0 | 91 | S61 | O1 | Wd 51 ： L |
| 七6t | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | カー | 91 | 8 | 9＜1 | 0 | $L$ | 091 | 6 | 七L | 0 | 61 | 62 | 92 | 902 | 0 | 62 | SSL | 乙乙 | Wd 00：て1 |
| 0881 | くて। | 0 | $9 \varepsilon$ | Ot | IS | t9 2 | 0 | $t \square$ | 689 | $1 \varepsilon$ | 661 | 0 | 97 | $\varepsilon 9$ | 06 | 064 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | 699 | $\downarrow$ ¢ | Petol |
| 06t | $\angle \varepsilon$ | 0 | カト | 6 | カレ | 七61 | 0 | 6 | 9＜1 | 6 | tG | 0 | カト | $\varepsilon 1$ | $\angle 乙$ | S02 | 0 | 12 | 8L1 | 9 | W＊st： 1 |
| てSt | $8 乙$ | 0 | G | O1 | $\varepsilon 1$ | ع8। | 0 | 91 | 9G1 | 1， | 9t | 0 | $L$ | 81 | 12 | S61 | 0 | 七乙 | 191 | O1 |  |
| ＜9t | 0t | 0 | カ | H | G1 | 981 | 0 | 6 | $0<1$ | 9 | OG | 0 | 21 | St | £乙 | 261 | 0 | 02 | 291． | O1 |  |
| $1 \angle \Delta$ | 乙乙 | 0 | $\varepsilon$ | O1 | 6 | 20乙 | 0 | 01 | L81 | G | 67 | 0 | $\varepsilon 1$ | $\angle 1$ | 61 | 861 | 0 | 乙乙 | 891 | 8 | W＊00： $1+$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ｜ełOL |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | W st ： 01 |
| $1210 \pm 170 \mid$ | $1 \mathrm{el}+1 . \mathrm{ddy}$ | spad | Нәา | nı41 | 14б！！ | $18+0 \pm$ dd $\theta$ | Sped | मəา | nı41 | 14б！ | $1810 \pm$ ddy | sped | Нәา | nı41 | 14б！प्ర | $18+1.1$ ddy | $\mathrm{spa}_{\text {d }}$ | Нәา | nı41 | 14б！！ | 2W！ 1 みelS |
|  |  |  | M dnyト |  |  | $\text { ( } \varepsilon \text { Sn }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ +\perp \exists \exists ย \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { os mo } \\ & \text { LS } \perp \cap \mathrm{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $7 \forall M \mathrm{H} .$ |  |  | $\varepsilon \cup S)^{15}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \exists \mathrm{mo} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ורות |  | (દ乙 Sก | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \left.1 \text { 1 } 1 \exists \exists \begin{array}{r} 2 \end{array}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON wo } \\ & \text { LS } \perp \text { I } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7 $\quad$ M | nos |  |
| 乙乙เ0ع0 | IS ınup | PM ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 8 P | 乙て0 | 乙 L／E： O US | ON әңед әроЭ əسеN | ed elS өt！ P！！ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L80ヶt O！पO ‘＇binqsuịM <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Start Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pr | ted Cars. | Truck | Bus |  | File Name Site Code Start Date Page No |  | : Ashville <br> : 0000000 <br> : 3/1/2022 <br> : 3 |  |  | Walnut S |  | $\text { t } 030122$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23) <br> From North |  |  |  |  | ASHVILLE ROAD (SR 316) From East |  |  |  |  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET (US 23) <br> From South |  |  |  |  | NORTHRUP DRIVE From West |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 03:00 PM | 7 | 299 | 15 | 0 | 321 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 163 | 7 | 0 | 175 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 588 |
| 03:15 PM | 11 | 308 | 17 | 0 | 336 | 23 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 55 | 8 | 137 | 19 | 0 | 164 | 27 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 607 |
| 03:30 PM | 11 | 317 | 25 | 0 | 353 | 16 | 24 | 25 | 0 | 65 | 10 | 208 | 12 | 0 | 230 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 50 | 698 |
| 03:45 PM | 20 | 411 | 23 | 0 | 454 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 202 | 12 | 0 | 222 | 27 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 72 | 800 |
| Total | 49 | 1335 | 80 | 0 | 1464 | 70 | 80 | 72 | 1 | 223 | 31 | 710 | 50 | 0 | 791 | 89 | 74 | 52 | 0 | 215 | 2693 |
| 04:00 PM | 12 | 345 | 22 | 0 | 379 | 15 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 48 | 6 | 236 | 10 | 0 | 252 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 39 | 718 |
| 04:15 PM | 12 | 338 | 11 | 0 | 361 | 19 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 46 | 14 | 237 | 15 | 0 | 266 | 34 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 72 | 745 |
| 04:30 PM | 14 | 396 | 27 | 0 | 437 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 49 | 6 | 187 | 11 | 0 | 204 | 31 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 73 | 763 |
| 04:45 PM | 17 | 350 | 22 | 0 | 389 | 15 | 26 | 18 | 2 | 61 | 10 | 201 | 10 | 0 | 221 | 30 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 56 | 727 |
| Total | 55 | 1429 | 82 | 0 | 1566 | 60 | 73 | 69 | 2 | 204 | 36 | 861 | 46 | 0 | 943 | 111 | 62 | 67 | 0 | 240 | 2953 |
| 05:00 PM | 24 | 360 | 29 | 0 | 413 | 19 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 56 | 11 | 244 | 13 | 0 | 268 | 32 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 48 | 785 |
| 05:15 PM | 16 | 321 | 26 | 0 | 363 | 17 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 215 | 9 | 0 | 233 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 57 | 705 |
| 05:30 PM | 35 | 349 | 37 | 0 | 421 | 12 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 48 | 9 | 201 | 12 | 0 | 222 | 24 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 753 |
| 05:45 PM | 10 | 284 | 37 | 0 | 331 | 19 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 197 | 9 | 0 | 220 | 21 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 647 |
| Total | 85 | 1314 | 129 | 0 | 1528 | 67 | 75 | 71 | 0 | 213 | 43 | 857 | 43 | 0 | 943 | 98 | 64 | 44 | 0 | 206 | 2890 |
| Grand Total | 369 | 8300 | 751 | 0 | 9420 | 719 | 637 | 535 | 3 | 1894 | 323 | 7371 | 355 | 0 | 8049 | 604 | 539 | 521 | 0 | 1664 | 21027 |
| Apprch \% | 3.9 | 88.1 | 8 | 0 |  | 38 | 33.6 | 28.2 | 0.2 |  | 4 | 91.6 | 4.4 | 0 |  | 36.3 | 32.4 | 31.3 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.8 | 39.5 | 3.6 | 0 | 44.8 | 3.4 | 3 | 2.5 | 0 | 9 | 1.5 | 35.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 38.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0 | 7.9 |  |
| Cars | 351 | 6960 | 730 | 0 | 8041 | 692 | 621 | 513 | 3 | 1829 | 313 | 6025 | 332 | 0 | 6670 | 551 | 519 | 481 | 0 | 1551 | 18091 |
| \% Cars | 95.1 | 83.9 | 97.2 | 0 | 85.4 | 96.2 | 97.5 | 95.9 | 100 | 96.6 | 96.9 | 81.7 | 93.5 | 0 | 82.9 | 91.2 | 96.3 | 92.3 | 0 | 93.2 | 86 |
| Trucks | 17 | 1328 | 20 | 0 | 1365 | 24 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 57 | 10 | 1337 | 20 | 0 | 1367 | 53 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 95 | 2884 |
| \% Trucks | 4.6 | 16 | 2.7 | 0 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 0 | 3 | 3.1 | 18.1 | 5.6 | 0 | 17 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 0 | 5.7 | 13.7 |
| Buses | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 52 |
| \% Buses | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.2 |

File Name ：Ashville Rd \＆S．Walnut St 030122
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 3 / 1 / 2022$
Page No $: 4$

|  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET（US 23） From North |  |  |  |  | ASHVILLE ROAD（SR 316） From East |  |  |  |  | SOUTH WALNUT STREET（US 23） From South |  |  |  |  | NORTHRUP DRIVE From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Int．Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07：00 AM to 09：45 AM－Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07：00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07：00 AM | 6 | 168 | 7 | 0 | 181 | 35 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 63 | 13 | 300 | 21 | 0 | 334 | 15 | 26 | 41 | 0 | 82 | 660 |
| 07：15 AM | 1 | 182 | 19 | 0 | 202 | 21 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 9 | 318 | 14 | 0 | 341 | 10 | 14 | 29 | 0 | 53 | 643 |
| 07：30 AM | 7 | 214 | 20 | 0 | 241 | 34 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 59 | 9 | 283 | 12 | 0 | 304 | 8 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 42 | 646 |
| 07：45 AM | 4 | 176 | 19 | 0 | 199 | 27 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 262 | 5 | 0 | 275 | 11 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 39 | 564 |
| Total Volume | 18 | 740 | 65 | 0 | 823 | 117 | 42 | 61 | 0 | 220 | 39 | 1163 | 52 | 0 | 1254 | 44 | 56 | 116 | 0 | 216 | 2513 |
| \％App．Total | 2.2 | 89.9 | 7.9 | 0 |  | 53.2 | 19.1 | 27.7 | 0 |  | 3.1 | 92.7 | 4.1 | 0 |  | 20.4 | 25.9 | 53.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | ． 643 | ． 864 | ． 813 | ． 000 | ． 854 | ． 836 | ． 656 | ． 763 | ． 000 | ． 873 | ． 750 | ． 914 | ． 619 | ． 000 | ． 919 | ． 733 | ． 538 | ． 707 | ． 000 | ． 659 | ． 952 |
| Cars | 17 | 617 | 62 | 0 | 696 | 115 | 39 | 57 | 0 | 211 | 38 | 1005 | 47 | 0 | 1090 | 35 | 54 | 110 | 0 | 199 | 2196 |
| \％Cars | 94.4 | 83.4 | 95.4 | 0 | 84.6 | 98.3 | 92.9 | 93.4 | 0 | 95.9 | 97.4 | 86.4 | 90.4 | 0 | 86.9 | 79.5 | 96.4 | 94.8 | 0 | 92.1 | 87.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 123 | 3 | 0 | 126 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 155 | 3 | 0 | 159 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 309 |
| \％Trucks | 0 | 16.6 | 4.6 | 0 | 15.3 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 0 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 0 | 12.7 | 20.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0 | 6.9 | 12.3 |
| Buses | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| \％Buses | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 03：45 PM to 04：30 PM－Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 03：45 PM

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ำกヘ |  | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ |  |
| 0000 | $\bigcirc 0$ | O | 000000 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ ¢ ค ¢ | $P \stackrel{m}{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\hat{0} \underset{\underset{O}{\circ}}{\wedge} \sim \underset{\sim}{\sigma}-\underset{+}{+}$ |
| ㅇలㅇㅇㅡ 쑤 신 | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | ¢ |  |
| へ ${ }_{\text {N }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\infty$ | ¢ | $\text { N } \underset{\sim}{\circ} \dot{\sigma}$ |
| Nָ N N : ષ ત |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |
| 0000 | 00 | O | 000000 |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | － | ஷo |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}{ }_{N}^{(N)} \stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\check{\infty}}$ | \％ |  |
| $\infty \quad \pm 0$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\sim}$ | No |  |
| ベか ¢ ¢ ¢ | 응 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{o}}$ |  |
| $0000$ | 00 | O | 000000 |
| $\oplus \stackrel{\infty}{\square} \stackrel{\infty}{\tau}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{C}} \underset{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{j}}$ | ¢ | $\hat{0} 00000$ |
| $\bar{\sim} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \infty \\ 0 \\ \hline \dot{C} \end{array}\right.$ | 응 | $\hat{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\infty}-\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| ำำำ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ¢ |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\circ} \dot{\circ} \dot{\square}$ |
| $0000$ | 00 | O | 000000 |
| $\mathfrak{N} \mathbb{N} \mp$ | $\infty$ | \％ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\infty}-\underset{\sim}{\sim} \circ$ |
|  |  | ¢ |  |
| ヘ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\text { in } \underset{\infty}{\infty}-\underset{\sim}{-} 0$ |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

TMS Engines, Inc.
2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
Transportation Manangement Services File Name
Site Code
Start Date
Page No
: TC 2 SR 752 and Long St Ashville 021622 DJS
$: 00000000$
$: 2 / 16 / 2022$
$: 1$

CS Eng
2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
Transportation Manangement Services

File Name : TC 2 SR 752 and Long St Ashville 021622 DJS Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2/16/2022 Page


File Name : TC 2 SR 752 and Long St Ashville 021622 DJS $: 00000000$
$: 2 / 16 / 2022$
$: 4$

|  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| セ¢ํํ N | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  |






 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 03:45

 $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \mp \operatorname{\infty }$


VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

TMS Engines, Inc.
2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
Transportation Manangement Services


File Name : W. Main St \& Miller Ave + Cromley Rd 030322 JJO Site Code : 00000000 Start Date: 3/3/2022 Page No : 2

File Name : W. Main St \& Miller Ave + Cromley Rd 030322 JJO Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 3/3/2022 Page No : 3

|  | MILLER AVENUE From North |  |  |  |  | WEST MAIN STREET (SR 316) From East |  |  |  |  | CROMLEY ROAD From South |  |  |  |  | WEST MAIN STREET (SR 316)From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 03:00 PM | 5 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 112 |
| 03:15 PM | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 44 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 118 |
| 03:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 130 |
| 03:45 PM | 6 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 57 | 142 |
| Total | 24 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 60 | 25 | 153 | 29 | 0 | 207 | 38 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 29 | 137 | 17 | 0 | 183 | 502 |
| 04:00 PM | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 134 |
| 04:15 PM | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 125 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 134 |
| 04:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 55 | 14 | 0 | 73 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 144 |
| Total | 21 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 47 | 18 | 187 | 46 | 0 | 251 | 34 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 23 | 145 | 17 | 0 | 185 | 537 |
| 05:00 PM | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 127 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 42 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 128 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 115 |
| 05:45 PM | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 61 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 57 | 131 |
| Total | 9 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 152 | 28 | 0 | 205 | 25 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 44 | 33 | 176 | 16 | 0 | 225 | 501 |
| Grand Total | 145 | 35 | 145 | 2 | 327 | 147 | 1150 | 208 | 1 | 1506 | 236 | 41 | 140 | 1 | 418 | 137 | 1020 | 122 | 1 | 1280 | 3531 |
| Apprch \% | 44.3 | 10.7 | 44.3 | 0.6 |  | 9.8 | 76.4 | 13.8 | 0.1 |  | 56.5 | 9.8 | 33.5 | 0.2 |  | 10.7 | 79.7 | 9.5 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 4.1 | 1 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 32.6 | 5.9 | 0 | 42.7 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 4 | 0 | 11.8 | 3.9 | 28.9 | 3.5 | 0 | 36.3 |  |
| Cars | 13 | 35 | 139 | 1 | 314 | 143 | 1097 | 204 | 0 | 1444 | 230 | 39 | 135 | 1 | 405 | 130 | 963 | 116 | 1 | 1210 | 3373 |
| \% Cars | 95.9 | 100 | 95.9 | 50 | 96 | 97.3 | 95.4 | 98.1 | 0 | 95.9 | 97.5 | 95.1 | 96.4 | 100 | 96.9 | 94.9 | 94.4 | 95.1 | 100 | 94.5 | 95.5 |
| Trucks | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 124 |
| \% Trucks | 1.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 4 | 1.9 | 0 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 0 | 2.9 | 0 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 5 | 2.5 | 0 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Buses | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| \% Buses | 2.8 | 0 | 2.1 | 50 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 1 |


File Name ：W．Main St \＆Miller Ave＋Cromley Rd 030322 JJO Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 3 / 3 / 2022$ Start Date $: 3 / 3 / 2022$
Page No ： 4 Page No

|  | MILLER AVENUE <br> From North |  |  |  |  | WEST MAIN STREET（SR 316） <br> From East |  |  |  |  | CROMLEY ROAD <br> From South |  |  |  |  | WEST MAIN STREET（SR 316） From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App．Total | Int．Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07：00 AM to 09：45 AM－Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07：00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07：00 AM | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 113 |
| 07：15 AM | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 88 |
| 07：30 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 90 |
| 07：45 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 76 |
| Total Volume | 5 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 130 | 7 | 0 | 145 | 36 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 80 | 10 | 104 | 7 | 0 | 121 | 367 |
| \％App．Total | 23.8 | 19 | 57.1 | 0 |  | 5.5 | 89.7 | 4.8 | 0 |  | 45 | 1.2 | 53.8 | 0 |  | 8.3 | 86 | 5.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | ． 625 | ． 500 | ． 429 | ． 000 | ． 583 | ． 667 | ． 903 | ． 438 | ． 000 | ． 863 | ． 750 | ． 250 | ． 672 | ． 000 | ． 800 | ． 500 | ． 788 | ． 875 | ． 000 | ． 818 | ． 812 |
| Cars | 5 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 123 | 7 | 0 | 138 | 35 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 97 | 6 | 0 | 113 | 349 |
| \％Cars | 100 | 100 | 91.7 | 0 | 95.2 | 100 | 94.6 | 100 | 0 | 95.2 | 97.2 | 100 | 97.7 | 0 | 97.5 | 100 | 93.3 | 85.7 | 0 | 93.4 | 95.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| \％Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| \％Buses | 0 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.0 | 14.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |


| フ $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ N | $\left.\right\|_{\hat{N}} ^{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\frac{N}{i n} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| べ | §ั | $\underset{\infty}{\mathfrak{N}}$ | $\bigcirc \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \text { 둥 }$ |
| 0000 | 00 | O | 000000 |
| の $\quad \downarrow$ ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 员 | $\stackrel{0}{1} 80000$ |
| ¢ ษ \％ハ | $\stackrel{\text { g }}{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \stackrel{0}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |
| $の \bullet$ の | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \underset{+}{\underset{\sim}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  |
| のサ セก セ | ก | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |

Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 03：45 PM
Peak Hour Analysis From 03：45 PM to 04：30 PM－Peak 1 of 1
$\begin{array}{rr}14 & 0 \\ 7 & 0\end{array}$
0
0

$$
\begin{array}{r|rrrr}
\hline \text { Total Volume } & 22 & 7 & 29 & 0 \\
\text { \% App. Total } & 37.9 & 12.1 & 50 & 0 \\
\hline \text { PHF } & .688 & .583 & .518 & .000 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$+$
00000 $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\circ}-\underset{\sim}{\circ}-\underset{\sim}{\circ}$




## Appendix C

Development Trip Generation Data

Westerville, OH, 17 February 2022
DHL Real Estate Development- Excellence. Simply delivered.
FORINTERNALUSE
DHL NorAm Location Information - PowerBI

Information updated daily LOGICS
Summary below is sorted by sector with the highest amount of square footage
Sectors with the highest concentration of headcount per sq/ft are darker red
Retail leads with 51 heads per 100 kq /ft of space
Chem / engineering \& manufacturing are last with 13-14 heads per 100k sq/ft of space
Sector
Heads per x sq/ft (Daily)

ITE provided results on various building sizes on FTE and truck movement over two shifts

## Errors were discovered replicating the math (in red)

## The summary for 'Enter' and 'Exit' between 7-9 AM left out the final row for 570k sq/ft

The final total between 4-6 PM (bottom right) was not totaling the entire headcount


## The results below are based on actual DHL operations for FTE and truck movement over two shifts

## The left table 'DHL Operations (All Sectors) are a weighted average of all ops. The right is our max FTE/Truck sector

## All sectors are significantly less for FTE than the ITE output

## Retail is $\sim 40 \%$ of the ITE results while trucks is the same

DHL is speculating that the ITE numbers are theoretical max headcount for given $\mathrm{sq} / \mathrm{ft}$



[^1]FORINTNERNALUSUSE
DHL NorAm Location Information - Consumer and Ecommerce
Data is representative of actual DHL operations for the noted sector verticles

|  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{n}{n}}{\stackrel{y}{c}}$ | m | ¢ | 앙 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{y}}{\stackrel{y}{2}}$ | 오 | ¢ | ¢ | N |
|  |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | 밍 | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\hat{N}}$ | 앙 |

dHL SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC CALCULATIONS


[^2]TOTAL SITE GENERATED TRIPS - PER AVAILABLE DHL DATA


## Appendix D <br> ODOT COVID-19 Calibration Guidelines

Decreased traffic as a result of the COVID19 pandemic requires additional consideration in the collection and processing of traffic counts for design traffic forecasts. The Office of Technical Services is continuously reporting the statewide decrease in traffic as registered by our permanent traffic recorders at:
https://www.transportation.ohio.gov/wps/portal/gov/odot/programs/technical-services/resources/regional-traffic-analysis

Currently about a $15 \%$ decrease in traffic is occurring. While this is similar to the decrease experienced from May to August, September and early October decreases were closer to $10 \%$. It's too early to tell whether this represents a new trend due to increasing COVID19 trends or additional suppression related to pre-holiday travel, however regardless, the values reported here are averages based solely on the location of the permanent traffic recorders which are heavily biased towards freeways and therefore may not represent local conditions.

For establishing base line traffic conditions for forecasting projects, the following procedure is therefore provided. Note, this method is an expedient to keep projects moving, if possible the project sponsor might want to defer collecting new traffic counts for projects until traffic conditions return to normal (at a minimum normal is defined as within $15 \%$ of pre-pandemic values, even better would be to wait until post-pandemic volumes can be measured). Additionally, any projects whose forecasts are based upon counts collected during the pandemic will require new traffic counts if they are subsequently resubmitted for certification once ODOT determines traffic levels have returned to normal, note this does not necessarily mean the forecasts must be redone as long as the new counts are in reasonable agreement (usually within 15\%) with the counts used for the forecasts. Since some locations may currently be close to normal, the factoring procedure is optional. However, any forecast submitted for certification must follow Steps 1 and 2 and:
A. Contain count plates showing the prior existing counts and original raw project counts and if the factoring procedure is used the factored values with factor stations and the new counts to which they applied clearly indicated.
B. Forecast plates must contain the following additional uncertainty note (the italicized part only included if factoring is conducted): "Counts collected during COVID19 Pandemic and factored per ODOT Modeling and Forecasting guidance".

Step 1 Get Existing Counts
Utilize the ODOT Traffic Monitoring Management System at:

## https://odot.ms2soft.com/tcds/tsearch.asp?loc=odot

to obtain as many prior existing counts as possible. ODOT coverage counts are conducted every 3 years, the latest count that is no more than 3 years old should be used, however, only counts conducted prior to March 15, 2020 should be included. Efforts should be made to include counts on the primary project routes even if those counts are outside of the project study area.

## Step 2 Conduct New Counts

Conduct new counts as normal, both machine and turning movement. New machine counts must also be conducted at the locations obtained in step 1 to establish "factor stations". Counts should be conducted following all previously published guidance:

https://www.transportation.ohio.gov/static/Programs/StatewidePlanning/ModelingForecasting/GuidelinesTCTFRoadwayDesign.pdf

## Step 3 Create Project Specific Factors

In lieu of the normal seasonal adjustment factor process to develop AADT, the counts collected at the factor stations will be compared to the counts from step 1 to develop factors. Both daily (AADT) and peak hour factors will be calculated separately as it is anticipated that time of day patterns have been changed drastically (and thus the peak hour selected for analysis should be determined by the existing counts from step 1). Note, at the daily level the raw new count is compared to the seasonally adjusted prior count, thus the factor developed is a replacement for the seasonal adjustment factoring process. If other project counts are conducted on different days from the factor stations, additional seasonal factors could be applied to reconcile to the factor day, however, so long as all project counts are conducted on Monday-Thursday within a month of one another this should be unnecessary. This does not replace or change other processes such as the application of design hour volume factors.

## Step 4 Apply Factors

The factors from Step 3 will be applied to the other counts collected in Step 2. The analyst needs to determine which factors to apply to each count. Generally, factors should be selected from the same road as close to the subject count as possible. If this isn't possible, a factor station with similar characteristics (functional class, development density, lanes, speed limit, access type etc.) and geographic proximity should be chosen. Average factors from multiple locations might also be used.

## Step 5 Additional Turn Movement Count Considerations

As ODOT's Traffic Monitoring Management System does not contain extensive turning movement counts and turning movement counts aren't conducted for an entire day there are additional considerations. If a count does exist in TMC (the turning movement portion of TMMS) and it is within 3 years old it can be used in lieu of a new count. A new count could also be conducted for the purpose of creating factors from this count in Step 2, however, since TM counts are not done for the full day, this would only result in peak hour factors which would thus require alternate factor station locations for developing the AADT factors. Therefore, in general, factor station locations are recommended for machine count locations only.

In addition, it is possible that the turning movement proportions have been skewed as a result of the traffic decrease. Therefore, for important intersections, it is recommended that StreetLight Data be queried at the intersection using average week day for one full month of weekdays. Both a pre and post COVID19 month should be queried. The pre-C month should either be February 2020 or the month in 2019 matching the post-C month selected below. The former should be used if the analyst believes changing development patterns are most important while the latter is used if the analyst believes seasonal effects are most important. The post-C month will be the latest month available in StreetLight. The comparisons should be made in terms of the turning movement percentages, not absolute volume. If the StreetLight comparisons indicate the turn movement percentages have changed by more than 10 percentage points, the turn movement count percentages can be adjusted to reflect this. Any such adjustment must be clearly indicated with the submitted count information.

Note: Check back to the web site for any updates.

## Simple Corridor Project Factor Example (Blue Dots are TMMS- MS2 Count Locations)



Step 1: Get the most recent hourly, 24-hour count.
Use TMMS (https://odot.ms2soft.com/tcds/tsearch.asp?loc=Odot\&mod=) to obtain "Old" pre-COVID date count.


## Step 2: Get the new count

> Note: The new count is taken at the same location as Location ID: 472 as a
> 24-hour count. (probably tube count)
> NEW Raw Count 4/1512020 (COVID Best)

Step 3: Calculate factors: (Pre-COVID count) / (new count)


Repeat this calculation for as many MS2 counts are in the project area within the same year and average them. In this example, the two on US 6 shown may be enough.

## Appendix E

ODOT Historical Traffic Data

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 2765 |
| Type | SPOT |
| Fnct'I Class | 3 |
| Located On | US-23 |
| Loc On Alias | N310 |
| BETWEEN | SR-752 AND DUVALL RD (SR-762) |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Pickaway |
| Community | HARRISON |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | ODOT |

COUNT DATA INFO

| Count Status | Accepted |
| ---: | :--- |
| Start Date | Thu 2/17/2022 |
| End Date | Fri 2/18/2022 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction |  |
| Notes |  |
| Station |  |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type | ATR |
| Source | TCDS_COUNT_IMPORT_COMBINE |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |

## Count Navigation: $\| \lll<\ggg 1$

| INTERVAL:15-MIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 15-min Interval |  |  |  | Hourly Count |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |  |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 82 | 68 | 60 | 68 | 278 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 60 | 54 | 62 | 48 | 224 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 47 | 50 | 59 | 48 | 204 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 59 | 68 | 61 | 90 | 278 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 96 | 134 | 168 | 174 | 572 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 230 | 322 | 329 | 345 | 1,226 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 484 | 581 | 541 | 466 | 2,072 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 494 | 540 | 528 | 449 | 2,011 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 435 | 414 | 438 | 418 | 1,705 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 388 | 408 | 407 | 350 | 1,553 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 374 | 361 | 397 | 340 | 1,472 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 318 | 340 | 375 | 332 | 1,365 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 482 | 421 | 417 | 395 | 1,715 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 363 | 413 | 396 | 406 | 1,578 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 414 | 487 | 490 | 485 | 1,876 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 484 | 551 | 559 | 573 | 2,167 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 523 | 570 | 580 | 545 | 2,218 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 538 | 619 | 502 | 495 | 2,154 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 472 | 383 | 382 | 334 | 1,571 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 242 | 265 | 254 | 224 | 985 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 241 | 211 | 186 | 180 | 818 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 201 | 191 | 184 | 158 | 734 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 145 | 115 | 129 | 103 | 492 |
| 23:00-24:00 (1) | 88 | 95 | 100 | 82 | 365 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 29,633 |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15-07: 15 \\ 2,082 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 30-17: 30 \\ 2,282 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 2765 |
| Type | SPOT |
| Fnct'I Class | 3 |
| Located On | US-23 |
| Loc On Alias | N310 |
| BETWEEN | SR-752 AND DUVALL RD (SR-762) |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Pickaway |
| Community | HARRISON |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | ODOT |

COUNT DATA INFO

| Count Status | Accepted |
| ---: | :--- |
| Start Date | Thu 2/20/2020 |
| End Date | Fri 2/21/2020 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction |  |
| Notes |  |
| Station |  |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type | ATR |
| Source | TCDS_COUNT_IMPORT_COMBINE |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:15-MIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time | 15-min Interval |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |  |
| Count |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Count Navigation: $|\lll<\ggg|$

Count Type: VOLUME $\vee$
NB

## Appendix $F$

## Sheetz TIS Trip Generation Figures

## AM <br> PEAK


$A+B+C=D$

A - Background Volumes (2031)
B - Primary Trips
C - Pass-by Trips
D - Total Volumes
ms consultants, inc. engineers, architects, planners

South Bloomfield Sheetz
Traffic Impact Study
South Bloomfield, Ohio
Figure 3: AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes

$A+B+C=D$

A - Background Volumes (2031)
B - Primary Trips
C - Pass-by Trips
D - Total Volumes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { PM } \\
\text { PEAK }
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 4: PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes

## Appendix G

## US23/SR316 Development TIS Trip Generation Figures






## Appendix H <br> MORPC Growth Rate Correspondence

| From: | Hwashik Jang [hjang@morpc.org](mailto:hjang@morpc.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, March 29, 2022 2:43 PM |
| To: | Andy Comer |
| Cc: | Nick Gill |
| Subject: | RE: Proposed DHL Facility TIS - Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio |

Andy,
We have completed processing growth rates for your Ashville traffic study area.
Please use linear annual growth rates as summarized below.

| Location | Linear Annual <br> Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| SR 752 e/o US 23 | 2.00\% |
| US 23 n/o SR 752 | 0.90\% |
| US 23 s/o SR 752 | 0.90\% |
| US 23 n/o SR 316 | 0.90\% |
| SR 316 w/o US 23 | 1.60\% |
| US 23 s/o SR 316 | 0.90\% |
| SR 316 e/o US 23 | 2.00\% |
| US 23 n/o SR 316 | 1.00\% |
| SR 316 w/o US 23 | 2.00\% |
| US 23 s/o SR 316 | 0.90\% |
| SR $752 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{L}$ Long St | 2.00\% |
| Long St n/o SR 752 | 2.20\% |
| SR 752 w/o Long St | 2.00\% |
| Long St s/o SR 752 | 2.20\% |
| W Main St e/o Cromley Rd | 2.00\% |
| SR 316 w/o Cromley Rd | 2.00\% |

Note: The above rate was derived based on planning level analysis by using MORPC's regional travel demand model.
If you have any questions, please let me know.
Thanks,

## HWASHIK JANG

Senior Planner, Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission
T: 614.233.4145 | hjang@morpc.org
111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 | Columbus, OH 43215

Given continued concerns and rapidly changing conditions due to COVID-19, MORPC offices are currently open to the public, but on a limited basis for preplanned meetings. In taking such steps, we are protecting the health and safety of our staff, members, and the general public. During this time, MORPC will continue to provide services to our members and community partners remotely. For updates and other information visit our website at www.morpc.org/covid19. Thank you for your patience and understanding as we navigate through these unique challenges.

From: Andy Comer [Andy@tmsengineers.com](mailto:Andy@tmsengineers.com)
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 1:16 PM
To: Hwashik Jang [hjang@morpc.org](mailto:hjang@morpc.org)
Subject: Proposed DHL Facility TIS - Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio
Hwashik,
We have been contracted to prepare a Traffic Impact Study for a proposed DHL facility in Ashville, Pickaway County, Ohio. The project is expected to consist of 7 warehouse/spec buildings. The development is proposed with access along SR 752 and SR 316 east of US 23. The SR 752 access is proposed for both car and truck traffic. The SR 316 access will be a car access only. Attached please find a "Project Location Map" detailing the development location. We are providing the following information in order to request a traffic growth rate for the study area roadways (US 23/SR 752/SR 316):

1. Traffic Data - We collected traffic data at five locations as determined with ODOT, Asheville, and South Bloomfield. See attached "Traffic Count Data". Included with the traffic data please find a map detailing the count locations and summary of the peak hour data.
2. Open Year \& Design Year - Opening Year 2023 \& Design Year 2043
3. Roadway Network Assumptions - The TIS will determine traffic and lane use at the proposed access locations and if any additional improvements are needed at the existing intersections.
4. Land Use Assumptions - The attached "Project Site Plan" includes a breakdown of each development building and the site plans for the development. The trip generation for the development will be based on site specific data. 5. Project Review Contact Person - The project will be reviewed by ODOT, District 6. We had a project scoping meeting with ODOT, District 6 on February 9, 2022. Our contact at ODOT District 6 is currently Andrew Hurst. We have also been in contact with the Village of Ashville engineer - Christopher Tebbe.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if there is any additional information you require to determine a traffic growth rate for the project study area.

Thank you,
Andy

Andrew B. Comer, P.E.

## TMS Engineers, Inc.

2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
T: (330) 686-6402
F: (330) 686-6417

## Appendix I

ODOT Peak Hour to Design Hour Chart

## PEAK HOUR to DESIGN HOUR FACTORS

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION = 03, 04, 05u
(Urban Principal Arterial, Urban Minor Arterial, \& Urban Minor Collector)

| Month |  | Monthly Average by Day-of-Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MON-THUR | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| January | 1 | 1.20 | 1.72 | 1.22 | 1.21 | 1.20 | 1.17 | 1.15 | 1.56 |
| February | 2 | 1.17 | 1.63 | 1.19 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.16 | 1.11 | 1.48 |
| March | 3 | 1.15 | 1.57 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.45 |
| April | 4 | 1.11 | 1.52 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 1.41 |
| May | 5 | 1.08 | 1.44 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.35 |
| June | 6 | 1.14 | 1.51 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 1.39 |
| July | 7 | 1.16 | 1.54 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.44 |
| August | 8 | 1.13 | 1.51 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.08 | 1.40 |
| September | 9 | 1.12 | 1.53 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.40 |
| October | 10 | 1.10 | 1.53 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 1.40 |
| November | 11 | 1.13 | 1.56 | 1.16 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.06 | 1.48 |
| December | 12 | 1.13 | 1.58 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.44 |

peak hour volume * factor = design hour volume
source: year 2016, 2017, \& 2018 Automatic Traffic Recorders (ATR) Data
ATR Stations:
2018: 21, 28, 123, 131, 134, 166, 169, 517, 523, 543, 544, 550,
565, 605, 765
2017: 21, 123, 523, 538, 543, 544, 550, 565, 605, 725, 765, 28,
134, 169, 517, 131, 166
NOTE: These are NOT seasonal adjustment factors!!!
Note: Insufficient data exists to produce factors for functional classes 06 and 07 Urban.

## Appendix J

## Background Traffic Volume Forecast Calculations

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 든 } \\ \vec{z} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{2}{ } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | － | － | － | － | N | － | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\mid \stackrel{8}{\mathbf{O}}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \mathrm{O} \\ \mid \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 華 |  | － |  | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|cc} \vec{y} & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { ㅇ}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{?}{ㅇ}$ | $\stackrel{-}{N}$ | 읏 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | 주 |  |  | 汧 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | O | － |
| $\stackrel{y}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\underline{\Sigma}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 590960 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － |
| $\underset{\sim}{~ ᄅ ~}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | － | － | － | － | 0 | － | － | $0$ | － | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | － | 0 | － |
| $\pm$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{9}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| $\stackrel{y}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\underline{L}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | N |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  | $\hat{F}$ |  | ก | 은 | $\stackrel{\text { 악 }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{7}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\square}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | ® |  | ～ | 인 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | \％ | － |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | $ٌ$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\square}}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ | ¢ | 8 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 욱 | \％ | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | ® | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | ® | ¢ | ® | 8 | 욷 | 욱 |
| $\underset{5}{2}$ | － | $\|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{-}{-}\|$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{n}} \underset{\square}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| $\pm$ | ® | $\|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{-}{-}\|$ | © | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{n}}{\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{2}}{\square}}$ | N | $0 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ® | ® | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 운 | N | $\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ² }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | － | 욛 | \％ | N | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | 주 | O－N |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \mid \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \bar{O} \\ \mid \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{N} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\left\|\frac{0}{\bar{m}}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \bar{\circ} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right.\right)$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \bar{o} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  | N | － | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | － | － |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\square}{\underline{z}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\frac{N}{\underset{f}{2}} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\stackrel{N}{\square}$ |  | 鲌 |  | N | \％ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ¢ | － | ® |  | ® |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}}{\stackrel{7}{7}}$ |  | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\text { g }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 운 }}{ }$ | 운 | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ | － |
|  | $\stackrel{\Perp}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{8}{8}}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{-}}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 추 | 추 | O | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | \％ | フ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc-8}{+}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ¢ | $08$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ¢ | 은 |
|  | $\|\underset{\underset{N}{N}}{ }\|$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{8}{8}}{-} \mid$ | N | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{9}{\square}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 尔 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \dot{g} \\ & 寸 \end{aligned}\right.$ | N | 물 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | 凩 | \％ | No | ¢ | 容 | 믕 | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\text { b }}{5}}$ |
| $\pm$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{8}{-} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\stackrel{\bigcirc-8}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | － | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\underline{\circ}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | へ／め |  | $\widehat{\sim}$ |  | ® |  | \＆ | \％ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\stackrel{0}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 안 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{8}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | － |  | ¢ | － | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\wedge}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | － |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ \hline-8 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | － | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\stackrel{8}{-8}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{ٌ}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | \％ | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{O}} \underset{-}{ }$ | 误 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 会 | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | ¢ | ¢ | へ్\％ | 영 | $\hat{\mathrm{t}}$ | 융 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | － | $\stackrel{ٌ}{\square}$ | 告 | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | \％ | － 8 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{2}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | － | － |
| 志 | $\bar{\infty}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline- \\ & \hline- \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\bar{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\sim}$ | ¢ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | ๕ | 안 | 은 | 울 |  | 운 | ๕ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | ๕ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 욱 | O－80 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 운 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | 꾹 |  | \％ |
|  | 年 | 旁 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \frac{\square}{y} \\ \frac{y}{3} \\ \frac{3}{4} \end{array}\right\|$ | 帝 |  | 旁 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 咅 } \\ \vec{\alpha} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{訁} \\ \vec{\alpha} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 恄 |  | 旁 | 西 | 嵅 | 号 | 膏 | ？ | 㝘 |  | － | ［ |  |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \mid \end{gathered}\right.$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ | N | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \substack{5 \\ \hline ⿹ 勹 巳} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $3$ |  |  | N্N | 合 | N | 롱 | ニ | 先 |  | （\％ | － | （1） |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 誛 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 妇d |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{N}}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{N}} \mid$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ \hline \mathbf{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{N}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \\ & N \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { m }}$ |  | （ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{0 \\ \hline \mathbf{N} \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{0 \\ \mathbf{C}\\ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | O |  | O－0 |  | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \dot{O} \\ \underset{子}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | 200 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ N \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | \％ | 앙 | N | 눗 | 5 |  | $\stackrel{5}{7}$ |  | N |  | \％ | 웅 |  | 응 | N | 윳 |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{y}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 这氟衰要 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | 유N |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text {－}}$ | － | \％ | ㅇ్m | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | － | \％ | \％ | 융 | N | \％ |
|  | F | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ -8 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | g | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{c}$ | is | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | ก | 앙 | 8 | ¢ | $\cdots$ | 암 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ | 웅 | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{0}{\bullet}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 욲 | 윢 | ¢ | 윤 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{2}{5} \\ \end{array}$ | ® | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ -8 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ๕ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ | ¢ | 8 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{8}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \％ | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 응 | ¢ | － |
| $\pm$ | $\frac{\circ}{7}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ - \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{-}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \infty \\ \stackrel{n}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | － | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\text { g }}{7}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{饣}$ | $\stackrel{8}{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc-}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\bar{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | あ | ® | む | 8 | N | 끅 |
| $\stackrel{y}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 주 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ |  | 合 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | ～ | － | へ̀m | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ | \％ | \％ | N／N్ల | ర్లి |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ -8 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{F}{F}$ | 암 | in | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ๕ | 은 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 8 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{0}{\sim}}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bar{\infty}$ | ® | 응 | 웅 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{5}{4} & \frac{z}{5} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ～ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ -8 \end{array}\right\|$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{c} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\overline{5}$ | 앙 | $\because$ | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | 암 | $\otimes$ | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | ® | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\circ}{\bullet}}$ | ำ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | © | ¢ | б＇ | 8 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{m}}{=}$ | 욱 |
| $\pm$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ -8 \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bar{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \stackrel{n}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\cdots$ | ㅇ | ※ | ¢ | \％ | $\stackrel{\circ}{-}$ | $\widehat{6}$ | － | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{\infty}$ | ¢ | 8 | 8 | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | 운 |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{N} \\ \hat{N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{N} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\circ}{O} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | O | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ i n \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{i}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\infty}$ |  | প্ল্లী | O |  | 융 |  | ㅇ్ల్ర |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mid \stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\substack{2}}$ |  | －さّ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\text { \％}}{\sim}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{f} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ | $\stackrel{0}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 付 |  | 耑 |  | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{1}{7}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{7}$ | \％ | 륚 |
|  | ®8 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ -8 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | ® | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \stackrel{n}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | \＆ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 9 | 앙 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | is | 岕 | is | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | ®® | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \％ | O | \％ | \％ | ¢ | is |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{0}{7} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ -8 \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{c} \\ \subset \end{array}\right\|$ | $$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}{\sim}$ | 尔 | 연 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}\right.$ | ®\％ | $\stackrel{8}{+}$ | ※ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{0}{+}}$ | ® | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 문 | 응 | 응 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 물 |
| $\pm$ | ก | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ～ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{n}} \underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 8 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\bar{¢}$ | － | ๕ | 8 | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | ¢ | －80 | in | 8 | 8 | \％ | ¢ | ？ |
| 呂 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \bar{N} \\ N_{2} \\ N_{\alpha} \\ \hline \alpha \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | O |  | \％ |  | N | 응 | 끙 | 응 | $\stackrel{\%}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{7}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \overline{\hat{\sigma}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bar{¢}}{\stackrel{-}{*}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | 융 | \％ | ి | ¢ | － |
|  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline-9 \end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $0 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | ㄴ | N | 유 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | $\infty$ |  | ¢ | － | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | © | ¢ |
|  | 연 | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{O} \\ -1 \end{array}\right\|$ | 연 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | N | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | ～్® | \％ |  | 앙 | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{8}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline ⿳ 亠 口 子 阝 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ® | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ̇ }}$ | － |
| $\pm$ | $\stackrel{8}{6}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | N | ® | $\bar{\infty}$ | ¢ | N | 8 | ® | $\stackrel{8}{-8}$ | 毋 |  | \＆ | － | ® | 안 | ¢ | 은 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | 꾸 |
|  | 年 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \frac{b}{4} \\ \text { 咅 } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 誉 |  | 帝 |  | 䔍 |  | 気 |  | 旁 |  | 旁 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\square}{y} \\ & \frac{y}{y} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 亳 | 咢 | 亳 |  | 岩 |  | 芸 | ？ | － |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{N} \\ \text { No } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 롱 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \frac{5}{\hat{3}} \\ \frac{b}{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ |  | － | 㛈 | \％ | （1） | N | O | N | 롱 | N | 20 | N | 気 | ¢ | （1） | \％ | （1） |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 壮d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Appendix C

ODOT Turn Lane Design Criteria

| TURNING LANE DESIGN | 401-7 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | REFERENCE SECTION |
|  | $401.6 .1 \& 401.6 .3$ |



LEFT TURN LANE - NO MEDIAN OR MEDIAN WIDTH < WL


LEFT TURN LANE - MEDIAN WIDTH $>=W_{L}$


RIGHT TURN LANE

- See Flgures 401-9 and 401-10 to copmpute length.
*s May be reduced or ellminated in urban areas if intersection spacing or storage is constraining
** Diverging toper
$W_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Turn Lane Width
October 2004

| OFFSET LEFT TURN LANE | $401-8$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | REFERENCE SECTION |
|  | $401.6 .1 \& 401.6 .3$ |



| BASIS FOR COMPUTING LENGTH OF <br> TURN LANES | $401-9$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | REFERENCE SECTION |
|  |  |


| Type of <br> Traffic <br> Control | Design Speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30-35 | $40-65$ |  |
|  | All | Low |  |
| Signalized | A | $* *$ <br> B or C | $* *$ <br> B or C |
| Unsignalized <br> Stopped <br> Crossroad | A | A | A |
| Unsignalized <br> Through Road | A | B | $* *$ <br> B or C |

* Low is considered $10 \%$ or less of approach traffic volume
** Whichever is greater

| CONDITION A | STORAGE ONLY |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length $=50^{\prime}$ (diverging taper) + Storage Length (Figure 401-10) |  |


| CONDITION B | HIGH SPEED DECELERATION ONLY |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Length (including 50' Diverging Taper) |
| 40 | 125 |
| 45 | 175 |
| 50 | 225 |
| 55 | 285 |
| 60 | 345 |
| 65 | 405 |


| CONDITION C | MODERATE SPEED DECELERATION AND <br> STORAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Length (including 50' Diverging Taper) |  |
| 40 | $115+$ Storage Length (Figure 401-10) |  |
| 45 | 125 |  |
| 50 | 145 |  |
| 55 | 165 |  |
| 60 | 185 |  |
| 65 | 205 |  |

For explanation, see Turn Lane Design Example

| STORAGE LENGTH AT INTERSECTIONS | 401-10 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | REFERENCE SECTION <br>  <br>  $\mathrm{m01.6.1} \mathrm{\& 401.6.3}$ |


| * AVERAGE NO. <br> OF <br> VEHICLES/CYCLE | REQUIRED <br> LENGTH (FT.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 |
| 2 | 100 |
| 3 | 150 |
| 4 | 175 |
| 5 | 200 |
| 6 | 250 |
| 7 | 275 |
| 8 | 325 |
| 9 | 350 |
| 10 | 400 |
| 11 | 450 |
| 12 | 475 |
| 13 | 500 |
| 14 | 525 |
| 15 | 550 |
| 16 |  |

* AVERAGE VEHICLES PER CYCLE =

| * AVERAGE NO. <br> OF <br> VEHICLES/CYCLE | REQUIRED <br> LENGTH (FT.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 600 |
| 18 | 625 |
| 19 | 650 |
| 20 | 675 |
| 21 | 725 |
| 22 | 750 |
| 23 | 775 |
| 24 | 800 |
| 25 | 825 |
| 30 | 1125 |
| 35 | 1250 |
| 40 | 1400 |
| 45 | 1550 |
| 50 | 1700 |
| 55 | 1850 |
| 60 |  |

DHV (TURNING LANE
CYCLES/HOUR

IF CYCLES ARE UNKNOWN ASSUME:
UNSIGNALIZED OR 2 PHASE $=60$ CYCLES/HOUR
3 PHASE = 40 CYCLES/HOUR
4 PHASE $=30 \mathrm{CYCLES} / \mathrm{HOUR}$

## Example - Turn Lane Design Using Figures 401-9 and 401-10

## Problem

Calculate the length of an exclusive left turn lane.
Traffic Control: Signalized
Design Speed: 55 mph
Cycle Length: 90 sec


## Determine Storage and Turn Lane Lengths

Turn Lane Demand (High/Low) $=\frac{\left(200 \frac{v e h}{h r}\right)}{200 \frac{v e h}{h r}+680 \frac{v e h}{h r}}=23 \%$ = High Demand
Refer to the matrix in Figure 401-9.
For Signalized, 55 mph , High Demand, use Method B or C, whichever is greater.
Method B - For 55 mph , a 285' turn lane length is required (235' storage +50 ' taper).
Method C - For $55 \mathrm{mph}, 165$ ' + calculated storage length in Figure 401-10.
Average Vehicles per Cycle $=\frac{\left(200 \frac{v e h}{h r}\right) *\left(90 \frac{\mathrm{sec}}{c y c}\right)}{3600 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr}}=5 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{cyc} \rightarrow 200$,
Total Length $=165^{\prime}+200^{\prime}=365^{\prime}\left(315^{\prime}\right.$ storage $+50^{\prime}$ taper $)$
Method C $=365^{\prime}>$ Method B $=285^{\prime}$

## Use Method C

## Check Length for Thru-Block

Refer to Figure 401-10 to calculate thru lane(s) queue distance.
$680 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{hr} / 2$ lanes $=340 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{ln}$


Average Vehicles per Cycle $=\frac{\left(340 \frac{v e h}{h r}\right) *\left(90 \frac{\mathrm{sec}}{c y c}\right)}{3600 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr}}=9 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{cyc} / \ln \rightarrow \mathbf{3 5 0} \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}$
Thru Block $=350^{\prime}>$ Method C Storage $=315^{\prime} \rightarrow$ Turn Lane Blocked
Use 350' storage $+50^{\prime}$ taper $=400^{\prime}$ ' Turn Lane Length

## Appendix D

SR 752 \& Business Place Traffic Data

2112 Case Parkway South \#7
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087 Transportation Manangement Services


File Name : TC OH 752 and N. Commerce St. 080922 DJS Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 8/9/2022 Page No : 2

- Buses

|  | NORTH COMMERCE STREET From North |  |  |  |  | OH 752 <br> From East |  |  |  |  | NORTH COMMERCE STREET <br> From South |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OH } 752 \\ & \text { From West } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 57 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 76 |
| 11:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 73 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 87 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 124 | 14 | 0 | 139 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 127 | 293 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 98 |
| 12:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 85 |
| 12:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 77 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 67 |
| Total | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 139 | 16 | 0 | 159 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 124 | 2 | 0 | 141 | 327 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 39 | 84 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 72 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 101 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 63 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 12 | 0 | 148 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 18 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 145 | 320 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

File Name : TC OH 752 and N. Commerce St. 080922 DJS Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 8/9/2022 Start Date
Page No Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Buses


|  | NORTH COMMERCE STREET From North |  |  |  |  | $\text { OH } 752$ <br> From East |  |  |  |  | NORTH COMMERCE STREET From South |  |  |  |  | OH 752 <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 67 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 75 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 40 | 90 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 71 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 141 | 10 | 0 | 155 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 113 | 8 | 0 | 139 | 303 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2.6 | 91 | 6.5 | 0 |  | 44.4 | 0 | 55.6 | 0 |  | 12.9 | 81.3 | 5.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 860 | . 625 | . 000 | . 824 | . 500 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 563 | . 409 | . 856 | . 500 | . 000 | . 869 | . 842 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 136 | 10 | 0 | 150 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 111 | 8 | 0 | 137 | 294 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 96.5 | 100 | 0 | 96.8 | 100 | 0 | 60.0 | 0 | 77.8 | 100 | 98.2 | 100 | 0 | 98.6 | 97.0 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 40.0 | 0 | 22.2 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NORTH COMMERCE STREET $\quad$ OH 752
File Name :TC OH 752 and N. Commerce St. 080922 DJS
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 8 / 9 / 2022$
Page No $: 4$
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Peak Hour Analysis From 03:45 PM to 04:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 03:45 PM
03:45 PM
04:00 PM
04:00 PM
04:15 PM
04:30 PM
$\begin{array}{r}\hline \text { Total Volume } \\ \text { \% App. Total } \\ \hline \text { PHF }\end{array}$
$\frac{\varphi}{\omega}$
0
0
0
0
先
Trucks
\% Trucks

|  |  |  | N.Commerce St. FROM NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.Commerce St. } \\ & \text { FROM SOUTH } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL NORTH SOUTH | $\begin{gathered} \text { OH } 752 \\ \text { FROM EAST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OH } 752 \\ \text { FROM WEST } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL EAST WEST | TOTAL ALL DIREC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Trk | Bus | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Trk | Bus |  | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Trk | Bus | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Trk | Bus |  |  |
|  | 2022 | Raw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 9 | 10 | 141 | 4 | 155 |  |  | 8 | 113 | 18 | 139 |  |  | 294 | 303 |
|  | Covid | Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 | Adjusted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 9 | 10 | 141 | 4 | 155 |  |  | 8 | 113 | 18 | 139 |  |  | 294 | 303 |
|  | DHV | Factor | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 | No Build | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 160 | 5 | 176 |  |  | 9 | 128 | 20 | 158 |  |  | 334 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Growth | Factor | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2024 | Adj + Growth+DHV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 167 | 5 | 183 |  |  | 9 | 134 | 20 | 163 |  |  | 346 | 356 |
|  | Phase 1 | Round | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 20 | 10 | 170 | 10 | 190 |  |  | 10 | 130 | 20 | 160 |  |  | 350 | 370 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2024 | Adj +Growth+DHV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 167 | 5 | 183 |  |  | 9 | 134 | 20 | 163 |  |  | 346 | 356 |
|  | Opening Year | Round | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 20 | 10 | 170 | 10 | 190 |  |  | 10 | 130 | 20 | 160 |  |  | 350 | 370 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2044 | Adj +Growth+DHV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 231 | 5 | 247 |  |  | 9 | 185 | 20 | 215 |  |  | 461 | 472 |
|  | Design Year | Round | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 20 | 10 | 230 | 10 | 250 |  |  | 10 | 180 | 20 | 210 |  |  | 460 | 480 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 | Raw | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 13 | 23 |  |  | 30 | 11 | 171 | 4 | 186 |  |  | 0 | 201 | 6 | 207 |  |  | 393 | 423 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Covid | Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 | Adjusted | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 13 | 23 |  |  | 30 | 11 | 171 | 4 | 186 |  |  | 0 | 201 | 6 | 207 |  |  | 393 | 423 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DHV | Factor | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  | 1.137 | 1.137 | 1.137 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 | No Build | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 |  |  | 34 | 13 | 194 | 5 | 211 |  |  | 0 | 229 | 7 | 235 |  |  | 447 | 481 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Growth | Factor | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2024 | Adj+Growth+DHV | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 |  |  | 34 | 13 | 202 | 5 | 219 |  |  | 0 | 238 | 7 | 244 |  |  | 464 | 498 |
|  | Phase 1 | Round | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 40 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 220 |  |  | 0 | 240 | 10 | 250 |  |  | 470 | 510 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2024 | Adj+Growth + DHV | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 |  |  | 34 | 13 | 202 | 5 | 219 |  |  | 0 | 238 | 7 | 244 |  |  | 464 | 498 |
|  | Opening Year | Round | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 40 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 220 |  |  | 0 | 240 | 10 | 250 |  |  | 470 | 510 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2044 | Adj+Growth+DHV | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 |  |  | 34 | 13 | 280 | 5 | 297 |  |  | 0 | 329 | 7 | 336 |  |  | 633 | 667 |
|  | Design Year | Round | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 40 | 10 | 280 | 10 | 300 |  |  | 0 | 330 | 10 | 340 |  |  | 640 | 680 |

## Appendix E

## Trip Generation Calculation Worksheet

DHL SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC CALCULATIONS (10/24/2022)


## Appendix $\mathbf{F}$

No-Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2024


## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | No-Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 10 | 148 | 20 |  | 10 | 187 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.32 | 6.72 | 6.42 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.70 | 4.20 | 3.50 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service





## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | No-Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 0 | 258 | 10 |  | 10 | 218 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |  | 7.24 | 6.64 | 6.34 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |  | 3.63 | 4.13 | 3.43 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1288 |  |  | 1237 |  |  | 502 |  |  |  | 505 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 12.5 |  |  |  | 12.5 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | A | A | A | A | A | B |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 12.5 |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |



## Appendix G

## Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2024



## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 10 | 168 | 35 |  | 41 | 197 | 10 |  | 41 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.32 | 6.72 | 6.42 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.70 | 4.20 | 3.50 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service





## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 0 | 274 | 27 |  | 63 | 235 | 10 |  | 26 | 0 | 27 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |  | 7.24 | 6.64 | 6.34 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |  | 3.63 | 4.13 | 3.43 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




## Appendix H

## Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2024 w/ Improvements




## Appendix I

No-Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2044


## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | No-Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 10 | 198 | 20 |  | 10 | 247 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.32 | 6.72 | 6.42 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.70 | 4.20 | 3.50 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service





## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | No-Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 0 | 348 | 10 |  | 10 | 298 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |  | 7.24 | 6.64 | 6.34 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |  | 3.63 | 4.13 | 3.43 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1187 |  |  | 1128 |  |  | 386 |  |  |  | 388 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.00 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 14.9 |  |  |  | 14.9 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | A | A | A | A | A | B |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 14.9 |  | 14.9 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |



## Appendix J

## Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2044



## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR $752 \&$ Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 10 | 218 | 35 |  | 41 | 257 | 10 |  | 41 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.32 | 6.72 | 6.42 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.70 | 4.20 | 3.50 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service





## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Business Place |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | State Route 752 |
| North/South Street | Business Place North |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 0 | 364 | 27 |  | 63 | 315 | 10 |  | 26 | 0 | 27 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |  | 7.24 | 6.64 | 6.34 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |  | 3.63 | 4.13 | 3.43 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 0 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 64 |  |  |  | 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1167 |  |  | 1091 |  |  | 298 |  |  |  | 291 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 20.3 |  |  |  | 18.5 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | A | A | A | A | A | C |  |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  | 18.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  | C |  |  |  |


| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  | TMS Engineers, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Duration, h |  | 0.250 |  |  | $\downarrow 1$ |  |
| Analyst |  | ABC |  | Analysis Date |  | Apr 7, 2022 |  |  | Area Type |  | Other |  | $\rightarrow$ | mits |  |
| Jurisdiction |  | Ashville, OH |  | Time Period |  | PM Peak |  |  | PHF |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Street |  | SR 752 |  | Analysis Year |  | 2044 |  |  | Analysis Period |  | 1> 7:00 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection |  | @ SR 316/Ashville Pike |  | File Name |  | 6_PM 44 752-316.xus |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Project Description |  | Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 139 | 255 | 97 | 120 | 248 | 8 130 | 84 | 200 | 100 | 120 | 330 | 196 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  | Green | $-7$ |  | $\underset{\underset{k}{3}}{\stackrel{3}{\varkappa}}$ |  | UN | $\sum_{i}$ |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ |
| Cycle, s | 129.4 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End |  | 8.0 | 2.2 |  | 38.0 | 7.8 | 2.1 | 48.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | Yes | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 4.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Timer Results |  |  |  | EBL |  | EBT | WBL | WBT |  | NBL | NBT |  | SBL | SBT |  |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 5 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 |  | 4 |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 1.1 |  | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 |  | 1.1 | 4.0 |  | 1.1 |  | 0 |
| Phase Duration, s |  |  |  | 16.7 |  | 45.7 | 14.5 | 43.5 |  | 13.3 | 53.8 |  | 15.4 |  | .9 |
| Change Period, ( $Y+R_{\text {c }}$ ), s |  |  |  | 5.5 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  | 5.5 | 6.5 |  | 6.5 |  | . 5 |
| Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s |  |  |  | 3.1 |  | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |  | 3.1 | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |  | . 1 |
| Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 30.3 | 9.4 | 33.4 |  | 6.4 | 22.7 |  | 8.4 |  | .7 |
| Green Extension Time ( $g e$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.2 | 1.3 |  |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 0.00 |  |  |
| Movement Group Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( v ), veh/h |  |  |  | 158 | 400 |  | 136 | 430 |  | 95 | 341 |  | 136 | 598 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1711 | 1711 |  | 1753 | 1733 |  | 1753 | 1737 |  | 1753 | 1725 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 8.5 | 28.3 |  | 7.4 | 31.4 |  | 4.4 | 20.7 |  | 6.4 | 43.7 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g_{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 8.5 | 28.3 |  | 7.4 | 31.4 |  | 4.4 | 20.7 |  | 6.4 | 43.7 |  |
| Green Ratio ( g/C ) |  |  |  | 0.39 | 0.30 |  | 0.36 | 0.29 |  | 0.44 | 0.37 |  | 0.45 | 0.38 |  |
| Capacity ( c ), veh/h |  |  |  | 251 | 529 |  | 248 | 501 |  | 192 | 639 |  | 405 | 666 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.630 | 0.756 |  | 0.551 | 0.857 |  | 0.498 | 0.533 |  | 0.337 | 0.898 |  |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), ft/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 6.4 | 17.6 |  | 5.8 | 19.6 |  | 3.4 | 13.6 |  | 4.8 | 25.5 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 0.85 | 0.00 |  | 0.75 | 0.00 |  | 0.62 | 0.00 |  | 1.03 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 33.3 | 41.8 |  | 33.9 | 45.1 |  | 31.3 | 33.3 |  | 24.6 | 38.7 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.7 |  | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 0.2 | 1.8 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{\text {s }}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (d), s/veh |  |  |  | 34.3 | 42.8 |  | 35.4 | 46.8 |  | 32.1 | 33.8 |  | 24.8 | 40.5 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | C | D |  | D | D |  | C | C |  | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  | 40.4 |  | D | 44.0 |  | D | 33.4 |  | C | 37.6 |  | D |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  | 39.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimodal Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix K

No-Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2044 w/ Improvements



## Appendix L

## Build Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2044 w/ Improvements




## Appendix M

Access Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2024

## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Access |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | SR 752 |
| North/South Street | Proposed Access |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 353 | 62 |  | 10 | 198 |  |  | 46 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 6.63 |  | 6.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.71 |  | 3.51 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |  |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Ar |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Build Conditions |
| Project Description |  |  |

## Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Access |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | SR 752 |
| North/South Street | Proposed Access |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 315 | 62 |  | 17 | 274 |  |  | 27 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 6.63 |  | 6.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.71 |  | 3.51 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Appendix N

Access Capacity Analysis Worksheets - 2044

## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Access |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | SR 752 |
| North/South Street | Proposed Access |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 403 | 62 |  | 10 | 258 |  |  | 46 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 6.63 |  | 6.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.71 |  | 3.51 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | ABC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | TMS Engineers, Inc. |
| Date Performed | $8 / 9 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2044 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | Build Conditions |

Site Information

| Intersection | SR 752 \& Access |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |
| East/West Street | SR 752 |
| North/South Street | Proposed Access |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 365 | 62 |  | 17 | 364 |  |  | 27 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 6.63 |  | 6.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.71 |  | 3.51 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Appendix 0 <br> ODOT Turn Lane Warrant Graphs



Notes:

1. Analyst to fill in all blue areas.
2. Green areas are calculated for the analyst

## 2-LANE LEFT TURN LANE WARRANT (HIGHSPEED)

## 401-5bM



## 2-LANE RIGHT TURN LANE WARRANT (HIGH SPEED)

## 401-6bM

 EASTBOUND


| AUXILLIARY TURN LANE WARRANTS PROJECT INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Client | POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Job Number | 22-029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Jurisdiction | Ashville, OH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Name of roadway where turn lanes are to be analyzed | SR 752 @ PROPOSED ACCESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Roadway speed limit | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Number of Lanes | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Analysis Condition (Year / Build) | 2044 BUILD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Direction of Roadway | EB/WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Direction of Side Street Approach | NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Is the Roadway Divided or Undivided | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Enter Volume Data for Intersection | EASTBOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Right Turn |  |  |  | Advancing |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | 62 | 403 | 465 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $62 \quad 365$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Left Turn $\begin{array}{lr} \\ & \text { AM } \\ \text { PM }\end{array}$ | WESTBOUND |  | EAStBound |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Advancing | Opposing | LT\% |
|  | 10 | 258 | 403 | 62 | 268 | 465 | 3.7\% |
|  | 17 | 364 | 365 | 62 | 381 | 427 | 4.5\% |

Notes:

1. Analyst to fill in all blue areas.
2. Green areas are calculated for the analyst

## 2-LANE LEFT TURN LANE WARRANT (HIGHSPEED)

## 401-5bM



## 2-LANE RIGHT TURN LANE WARRANT (HIGH SPEED)

## 401-6bM

 EASTBOUND



[^0]:    File Name : Ashville Rd \& S. Walnut St 030122 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : $3 / 1 / 2022$
    Page No $: 1$

[^1]:    Westerville, OH, 2 May 2022
    DHL Real Estate Development- Excellence. Simply delivered.

[^2]:    * Enter \& Exit splits are based on directional distribution for ITE Land Use 156 - High-Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse
    ** Truck splits not available for Land Use \#156. Enter \& Exit splits are based on AVERAGE directional distribution for ITE Land Uses 150/154/155
    ** Truck splits not available for Land Use \#156. Enter \& Exit splits are based on AVERAGE directional distribution for ITE Land Uses 150/154/155

